## **Annals of Internal Medicine**

# CLINICAL GUIDELINES

# 2023 U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs and U.S. Department of Defense Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of Headache

Jason J. Sico, MD, MHS; Natasha M. Antonovich, PharmD; Jennifer Ballard-Hernandez, DNP, NP; Andrew C. Buelt, DO; Amy S. Grinberg, PhD, PharmD; Franz J. Macedo, DO; Ian W. Pace, PharmD; James Reston, PhD, MPH; James Sall, PhD; Friedhelm Sandbrink, MD; Karen M. Skop, PT, DPT, MS; Thomas R. Stark, DDS; Rebecca Vogsland, DPT; Lisa Wayman, PhD, RN; and Aven W. Ford, MD

**Description:** Headache medicine and therapeutics evidence have been rapidly expanding and evolving since the 2020 U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) and U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) clinical practice guideline (CPG) for the management of headache. Therefore, the CPG was revised in 2023, earlier than the standard 5-year cycle. This article reviews the 2023 CPG recommendations relevant to primary care clinicians for treatment and prevention of migraine and tension-type headache (TTH).

**Methods:** Subject experts from the VA and the DoD developed 12 key questions, which guided a systematic search using predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. After reviewing evidence from 5 databases published between 6 March 2019 and 16 August 2022, the work group considered the strength and quality of the evidence, patient preferences, and benefits versus harms on critical outcomes before making consensus recommendations.

**Recommendations:** The revised CPG includes 52 recommendations on evaluation, pharmacotherapy,

invasive interventions, and nonpharmacologic interventions for selected primary and secondary headache disorders. In addition to triptans and aspirin-acetaminophen-caffeine, newer calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) inhibitors (gepants) are options for treatment of acute migraine. Medications to prevent episodic migraine (EM) include angiotensin-receptor blockers, lisinopril, magnesium, topiramate, valproate, memantine, the newer CGRP monoclonal antibodies, and atogepant. AbobotulinumtoxinA can be used for prevention of chronic migraine but not EM. Gabapentin is not recommended for prevention of EM. Ibuprofen (400 mg) and acetaminophen (1000 mg) can be used for treatment of TTH, and amitriptyline for prevention of chronic TTH. Physical therapy or aerobic exercise can be used in management of TTH and migraines.

Ann Intern Med. doi:10.7326/ANNALS-24-00551 For author, article, and disclosure information, see end of text. This article was published at Annals.org on 29 October 2024.

eadache disorders, including migraine and tension-type headache (TTH), continue to be some of the most common and disabling neurologic conditions worldwide (1-7). The lifetime prevalence of headache disorders is 66% (7, 8), and more than half of people with a history of headache have active headache disease.

Headache-related disability has a pronounced effect on individuals, their social support networks, and health care systems. Headache is the second leading cause of years lived with disability across all age groups, and more disability-adjusted life-years are attributed to headache than to all other neurologic disorders combined (9).

Health-related quality-of-life scores, or measures of an individual's perceived mental and physical health over time, are consistently lower among those living with migraine than among headache-free, age-matched control participants. Quality-of-life scores are also lower during times when headache is more active. Pain intensity, headache frequency, headacheassociated symptoms (such as nausea and photophobia), comorbid conditions (such as depression), stigma, and catastrophizing are significant contributors to headache-related disability and impaired quality of life (10-13).

Headache has both direct and indirect societal costs, with indirect annual costs mainly attributed to missed days of work (absenteeism) and impaired work function during a headache (presenteeism) (14-16). In the United States, indirect and direct medical costs for migraine alone are approximately \$36 billion annually. The negative outcomes to persons living with headache and to society can be mitigated by delivering guideline-concordant headache care (17).

Two new classes of medications and several devices have been approved for headache indications by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration since the last U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) and U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) clinical practice guideline (CPG) in

See also:

*Web-Only* Supplement

Annals of Internal Medicine © 2024 American College of Physicians 1

## CLINICAL GUIDELINES

2020. Calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP), a protein that contributes to trigeminal nerve pain and inflammation, and its receptor have critical roles in migraine pathophysiology. Monoclonal antibodies targeting CGRP either block the peptide from binding to the receptor (galcanezumab, fremanezumab, eptinezumab) or block the receptor-binding site (erenumab). Gepants also block the CGRP receptor-binding site. Ditans block release of CGRP by binding to 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) receptor  $1_{F}$  (5-HT<sub>1F</sub>) receptors on trigeminal nerve cells that transmit pain signals of a migraine (rather than the 5-HT<sub>1B</sub> and 5-HT<sub>1D</sub> receptors that triptans bind to). Several neuromodulatory devices have been newly cleared or have received expanded indications for headache care. These treatments work by stimulating nerves in the head or neck through electrodes or magnets, either noninvasively or invasively. Because of these new developments, the VA/DoD headache guideline was updated in 2023 ahead of the scheduled 5-year update.

#### **Guideline Development Process**

To develop CPG recommendations, the VA/DoD used a process outlined by the VA/DoD Evidence-Based Practice Working Group (18, 19). The group selected 4 guideline work group (WG) champions, 2 from the VA and 2 from the DoD. Leadership from the VA and DoD then selected a multidisciplinary WG of practicing clinician stakeholders (**Supplement Table 1**, available at Annals.org). All WG members completed conflict-of-interest disclosure forms for relationships in the previous 2 years and affirmed the disclosures verbally throughout the duration of the project. No conflicts of interest were identified.

The WG developed 12 key questions (KQs) using the PICOTS (population, intervention, comparator, outcomes, timing of outcomes measurement, and setting) framework to evaluate the benefits and harms associated with various pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic interventions for prevention and treatment of all types of headaches, including migraines and TTH (Table 1). Full details on the methods and recommendations can be found on the VA website (20).

To make recommendations, the WG considered peer-reviewed, English-language literature published from 6 March 2019 to 16 August 2022 relevant to the PICOTS questions. At least 80% of patients in an included study were adults diagnosed with headache; the remaining patients were in subgroup analyses specifically for adults with headache (Part 4 of the **Supplement**, available at Annals.org). When appropriate, systematic reviews (SRs) and meta-analyses (MAs) were prioritized and supplemented with individual randomized controlled trials (RCTs) (Part 4 of the **Supplement**).

The GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation) method

guided the evidence quality assessment and the rating of the strength of the recommendation (21-23). Critical and important outcomes for each KQ were identified (Table 1). When revisiting prior recommendations, the WG restructured the critical and important outcomes to better reflect those used in the current headache literature (for example, pain freedom and most bothersome symptom are now regarded as coprimary end points in acute migraine trials) (24). Across all KQs and when available for critical and important outcomes for KQs, the WG considered clinically meaningful changes (also called minimally important differences or minimum clinically important differences) (25). Specifically, values for clinically meaningful changes that were available in the literature at the time of this CPG included a mean monthly reduction in headache days from baseline of 1 day for both episodic migraine (EM) and chronic migraine (CM).

A patient focus group provided input on patient values and care concerns. In addition to patient values and preferences, the WG considered the confidence in the quality of the evidence, balance of desirable and undesirable outcomes, and other important implications, such as resource use and availability, health equity, acceptability, and feasibility (20). In the GRADE system, the ultimate strength of a recommendation is based on the lowest quality-of-evidence rating (20, 26) for any critical outcome in the body of literature being reviewed for a particular recommendation. Each recommendation was supported by evidence (Table 2). "Weak for" or "neither for nor against" recommendations are solely a reflection of the strength of the evidence. Some interventions may be efficacious but are not supported by evidence. The algorithms and sidebars (Part 2 of the Supplement) include suggestions from clinician expertise beyond the limitations of the available evidence.

#### HIGHLIGHTED 2023 GUIDELINE UPDATES

This paper summarizes some of the important updates from the 2020 guideline that are particularly relevant to primary care, especially recommendations that were updated from the prior CPG and are new to this iteration. The full guideline (20) includes 17 new recommendations, 7 amended recommendations, 13 carried-forward recommendations, and 2 eliminated recommendations (Table 2).

New recommendations address new pharmacotherapies that target the CGRP pathway (for example, gepants), another new family of migraine pharmacotherapies (that is, ditans), and either new neuromodulatory devices or the expansion of indications for devices previously cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. New recommendations have also addressed more invasive headache therapeutic approaches (such as nerve blockades), the comparative effectiveness of acute and preventive pharmacotherapies,

#### Table 1. Key Questions

Number	Key Question	Studies
1	What is the safety and effectiveness of prophylactic prescription pharmacologic agents in the preven- tion of A) cluster headache, B) migraine, and C) tension-type headache?	14 SRs and 10 RCTs
2	What is the comparative effectiveness of prophylactic prescription pharmacologic agents (including CGRP inhibitors and botulinum toxin) in the prevention of A) cluster headache, B) migraine, and C) tension-type headache?	4 SRs and 8 RCTs
3	What treatments are effective for the short-term, long-term, or preventive management of posttrau- matic headache?	5 RCTs
4	What is the safety and effectiveness of short-term use of prescription and nonprescription pharmaco- logic agents in the treatment of A) cluster headache, B) migraine, and C) tension-type headache?	10 SRs and 5 RCTs
5	What is the comparative effectiveness of short-term use of prescription and nonprescription pharmaco- logic agents in the treatment of A) cluster headache, B) migraine, and C) tension-type headache?	1 SR, 1 NMA, and 4 RCTs
6	What is the safety and effectiveness of invasive (e.g., injection or IV-based treatments) and interven- tional procedures for short-term treatment and/or prevention of headache?	2 SRs and 3 RCTs
7	What is the effectiveness of complementary integrative health interventions in the treatment and/or prevention of headache?	7 SRs and 11 RCTs
8	What is the effectiveness of nonpharmacologic behavioral health approaches for the treatment and/or prevention of headache?	3 SRs and 13 RCTs
9	What is the safety, effectiveness, and comparative effectiveness of noninvasive neuromodulation (neurostimulation) on treatment and/or prevention of headache?	4 SRs and 14 RCTs
10	What is the effectiveness of combination therapies (e.g., combining pharmacotherapies, enhancing pharmacotherapy with behavioral interventions, neuromodulation, interventional procedures, and complementary integrative health) for headache prevention?	6 RCTs
11	What is the effect of co-occurring conditions on treatment outcomes in patients with headache?	1 SR, 4 secondary analyses of RCTs, and 1 secondary analysis of a prospective cohort study
12	Is medication withdrawal an effective strategy to manage suspected medication overuse headache?	2 RCTs (in 4 publications)
-	Total evidence base	129 studies (in 131 publications)

CGRP = calcitonin gene-related peptide; IV = intravenous; NMA = network meta-analysis; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SR = systematic review.

and combinations of therapies (for example, a behavioral intervention and a pharmacotherapy for migraine). A change in strength, or direction, of a "reviewed, amended" recommendation could be due to either a new evidence basis or review of the prior evidence basis within the context of revised critical outcomes.

In the following sections, we summarize the recommendations we found most relevant for primary care clinicians, including recommendations for pharmacotherapy or invasive therapies (injections, procedures, and invasive interventions) for treatment and prevention of migraines and TTHs, as well as for the use of nonpharmacologic interventions (NPIs).

#### **Abortive Therapies for Migraine**

Migraine was an area with a large evidence basis resulting in over 2 dozen recommendations in the updated 2023 guideline. The goals of treating acute migraine include rapid and sustained alleviation of pain and most bothersome symptom and restoration of function. The abortive treatment of migraine (that is, short-term treatment of symptomatic migraine) is focused on early treatment with therapies commensurate to pain severity (mild vs. moderate to severe), the presence or absence of nausea and vomiting (which may limit use of orally administered therapies), patient preference, comorbid conditions, vascular risk, and the health care setting where therapies would be administered. Most of the recommendations in this CPG are related to outpatient therapies and strategies. Critical outcomes considered for acute migraine therapies included time to pain freedom, time to freedom from most bothersome symptom, and headache or migraine intensity.

#### Pharmacotherapies

Newly added recommendations included a "strong for" recommendation for aspirin-acetaminophen-caffeine (Recommendation 20), a "weak for" recommendation for ubrogepant and rimegepant (Recommendation 22), and a "neither for nor against" recommendation for lasmiditan (Recommendation 24). Aspirin-acetaminophen-caffeine was found to have a statistically significant improvement in critical outcomes, with a number needed to treat of 9 for pain freedom at 2 hours and 4 for pain relief at 2 hours (27). Benefits of aspirin-acetaminophen-caffeine outweighed risks because no serious adverse events (AEs) occurred, and the AEs reported were mild, consistent with what would be expected from the individual components (for example, aspirin dyspepsia, caffeine nervousness). Systematic reviews (28-30, 299) of gepants for acute migraine treatment demonstrated moderately robust and clinically significant effects on critical outcomes of interest (for example, a number needed to treat of 13 for pain freedom at 2 hours for both gepant drugs reviewed). Lasmiditan, first in a new class of pharmacotherapies (ditans), is a centrally and peripherally acting

Table 2. Recommendations With Supporting Evidence				
Number	Recommendation*	Strength	Category	Supporting Evidence
Medication	overuse headache screening and other considerations			
1	We suggest that providers assess for and consider the following high-risk factors for medication overuse headache in patients with headache (in order of relative impact): Headache frequency (≥7 d/mo) Migraine diagnosis Medication use: frequent use of anxiolytics, analgesics (for any condition, including use of opioids or nonopioid analgesics for the short-term treatment of migraine), or sedative hyp- notics History of anxiety or depression, especially in combination with musculoskeletal or gastrointestinal symptoms Physical inactivity Sick leave of >2 wk in the past year Self-reported whiplash Smoking (tobacco use)	Weak for	Not reviewed, amended	92-96
Dharmacat	horanyu hoodadhay proventiya			
2	There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against coen- zyme Q10, feverfew, melatonin, omega-3, vitamin B2, or vita- min B6 for the prevention of headache.	Neither for nor against	Not reviewed, amended	97-103
3	There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against fluoxe- tine or venlafaxine for the prevention of headache.	Neither for nor against	Reviewed, not changed	51, 77, 104 Additional evi- dence: 105
Pharmacot	herapy: migraine: preventive	Cture of feat	Deviewerd	F1 10/ 100
4	episodic migraine.	Strong for	replaced	51, 100-100
5	We recommend erenumab, fremanezumab, or galcanezumab for the prevention of episodic or chronic migraine.	Strong for	Reviewed, new- replaced	43, 48, 109-126 Additional evi- dence: 42, 44, 45, 127-131
6	We suggest intravenous eptinezumab for the prevention of epi- sodic or chronic migraine.	Weak for	Reviewed, new- added	132-136 Additional evi- dence: 137-143
7	We suggest lisinopril for the prevention of episodic migraine.	Weak for	Reviewed, not changed	144 Additional evi- dence: 143
8	We suggest oral magnesium for the prevention of migraine.	Weak for	Not reviewed, not changed	97, 145, 146 Additional evi- dence: 147
9	We suggest topiramate for the prevention of episodic and chronic migraine.	Weak for	Reviewed, new- replaced	48-50, 148 Additional evi- dence: 149
10	We suggest propranolol for the prevention of migraine.	Weak for	Reviewed, not changed	148
11	We suggest valproate for the prevention of episodic migraine.	Weak for	Reviewed, new- replaced	50, 51 Additional evi- dence: 135, 150-162
12	We suggest memantine for the prevention of episodic migraine.	Weak for	Reviewed, new- added	163
13	We suggest atogepant for the prevention of episodic migraine.	Weak for	Reviewed, new- added	46
14	We suggest onabotulinumtoxinA injection for the prevention of chronic migraine.	Weak for	Reviewed, not changed	48, 53, 54
15	We suggest against abobotulinumtoxinA or onabotulinumtoxinA injection for the prevention of episodic migraine.	Weak against	Reviewed, not changed	54
16	There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against rime- gepant for the prevention of episodic migraine.	Neither for nor against	Reviewed, new- added	47
17	We suggest against the use of gabapentin for the prevention of episodic migraine.	Weak against	Reviewed, new- replaced	50 Additional evidence: 52
18	There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against levetir- acetam for the prevention of episodic migraine.	Neither for nor against	Reviewed, new- added	164 Additional evi- dence: 165-175

Continued on following page

#### 2023 VA/DoD Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of Headache

## CLINICAL GUIDELINES

Table 2-Continued				
Number	Recommendation*	Strength	Category	Supporting Evidence
Pharmacoth	erapy: migraine: abortive			
19	We recommend eletriptan, frovatriptan, rizatriptan, sumatriptan (oral or subcutaneous), the combination of sumatriptan and naproxen, or zolmitriptan (oral or intranasal) for the short-term treatment of migraine.	Strong for	Reviewed, new- replaced	176-181 Additional evi- dence: 182-185
20	We recommend aspirin-acetaminophen-caffeine for the short- term treatment of migraine.	Strong for	Reviewed, new- added	27
21	We suggest acetaminophen, aspirin, ibuprofen, or naproxen for the short-term treatment of migraine.	Weak for	Reviewed, amended	186-193
22	We suggest rimegepant or ubrogepant for the short-term treat- ment of migraine.	Weak for	Reviewed, new- added	28-30
23	We suggest against intravenous ketamine for the short-term treat- ment of migraine.	Weak against	Reviewed, amended	194 Additional evi- dence: 195, 196
24	There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against lasmi- ditan for the short-term treatment of migraine.	Neither for nor against	Reviewed, new- added	31-65 Additional evi- dence: 36, 37
Pharmacoth	erapy: tension-type headache: preventive			
25	We suggest amitriptyline for the prevention of chronic tension- type headache.	Weak for	Reviewed, not changed	197 Additional evi- dence: 198, 199
26	We suggest against botulinum/neurotoxin injection for the pre- vention of chronic tension-type headache.	Weak against	Reviewed, not changed	58, 59
27	We suggest ibuprofen (400 mg) or acetaminophen (1000 mg) for the short-term treatment of tension-type headache.	Weak for	Reviewed, not changed	55-57
Pharmacoth	erapy: cluster headache: preventive			
28	We suggest galcanezumab for the prevention of episodic cluster headache.	Weak for	Reviewed, not changed	200 Additional evi- dence: 131, 201
29	We suggest against galcanezumab for the prevention of chronic cluster headache.	Weak against	Reviewed, new- added	200 Additional evidence: 131, 202, 203
30	There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against vera- pamil for the prevention of episodic or chronic cluster headache.	Neither for nor against	Reviewed, new- added	78 Additional evidence: 112, 204, 205
Pharmacoth	erapy: cluster headache: abortive			
31	We suggest subcutaneous sumatriptan (6 mg) or intranasal zolmi- triptan (10 mg) for the short-term treatment of cluster headache.	Weak for	Reviewed, new- replaced	206 Additional evi- dence: 207, 208
32	We suggest the use of normobaric oxygen therapy for the short- term treatment of cluster headache.	Weak for	Not reviewed, amended	206, 209-211
Pharmacotherapy: medication overuse headache				
33	There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against the addition of any specific preventive agent or withdrawal strategy to guide the treatment of medication overuse headache.	Neither for nor against	Reviewed, new- replaced	212-218
Injections, procedures, and invasive interventions				
34	We suggest greater occipital nerve block for the short-term treat- ment of migraine.	Weak for	Reviewed, not changed	38-41 Additional evi- dence: 219, 220
35	I here is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against greater occipital nerve block for the prevention of chronic migraine.	Neither for nor against	Reviewed, new- added	53, 87, 221 Additional evi- dence: 219, 220

Continued on following page

Table 2-Continued				
Number	Recommendation*	Strength	Category	Supporting Evidence
36	There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against supra- orbital nerve block for the short-term treatment of migraine.	Neither for nor against	Reviewed, new- added	38
37	There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against intra- venous antiemetics (i.e., intravenous chlorpromazine, intrave- nous metoclopramide, intravenous prochlorperazine), intravenous magnesium, or intranasal lidocaine for the short- term treatment of headache.	Neither for nor against	Reviewed, new- replaced	32, 79, 145, 222, 223
38	There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against pulsed radiofrequency procedure of the upper cervical nerves or sphe- nopalatine ganglion block for the treatment of chronic migraine.	Neither for nor against	Reviewed, new- replaced	224, 225
39	We suggest against an implantable sphenopalatine ganglion stimulator for the treatment of cluster headache.	Weak against	Reviewed, new- added	226
40	We suggest against patent foramen ovale closure for the treat- ment or prevention of migraine.	Weak against	Reviewed, new- added	227, 228
Nonpharma	acologic therapy	\\/l.f	Devieweel eet	220,220
41	term treatment of episodic cluster headache.	Weak for	changed	229, 230
42	We suggest physical therapy for the management of tension-type, migraine, or cervicogenic headache.	Weak for	Reviewed, new- replaced	70, 71, 231-238 Additional evi- dence: 239
43	We suggest aerobic exercise or progressive strength training for the prevention of tension-type and migraine headache.	Weak for	Not reviewed, amended	72, 73, 74-76 Additional evi- dence: 239
44	There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against the following behavioral interventions for the treatment and/or prevention of headache: Biofeedback and smartphone application-based heart rate variability monitoring Cognitive behavioral therapy Mindfulness-based therapies Progressive muscle relaxation	Neither for nor against	Reviewed, new- replaced	60-69, 240-243
45	There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against acu- puncture, dry needling, or yoga for the treatment and/or pre- vention of headache.	Neither for nor against	Reviewed, new- replaced	69, 71, 224, 225, 244-260
46	There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against dietary trigger avoidance for the prevention of headache.	Neither for nor against	Not reviewed, amended	261, 262
47	We suggest against IgG antibody testing for dietary trigger avoid- ance for the prevention of headache.	Weak against	Not reviewed, amended	263, 264
48	There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against any form of neuromodulation for the treatment and/or prevention of migraine: Noninvasive vagus nerve stimulation Supraorbital, or external trigeminal, nerve stimulation Remote electrical neurostimulation External combined occipital and trigeminal neurostimulation system Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation Transcranial direct current stimulation	Neither for nor against	Reviewed, new- replaced	265-285
Comparativ	e effectiveness and combination therapies			
49	There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against choos- ing a specific treatment strategy for posttraumatic headache.	Neither for nor against	Reviewed, new- added	278, 286-289
50	There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against any specific medication over another for the short-term treatment of migraine.	Neither for nor against	Reviewed, new- added	79-84
51	There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against any specific medication over another for the prevention of migraine headache, tension headache, or cluster headache.	Neither for nor against	Reviewed, new- added	48, 49, 77, 78, 85-91
52	There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against any specific combination of therapies for the prevention of headache.	Neither for nor against	Reviewed, new- replaced	290-298
* Two rocom	mondations from 2020 wars aliminated			

Two recommendations from 2020 were eliminated:

We suggest education regarding dietary trigger avoidance for the prevention of migraine. There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against an elimination diet based on IgG antibody test results for the prevention of headache.

5-HT<sub>1F</sub> agonist that consistently demonstrated a robust benefit in the critical outcomes of pain freedom and pain relief at 2 hours. However, given its adverse effect profile (for example, driving restrictions) and low number needed to harm of 4 for treatment-emergent adverse effects (31-37), the WG did not assign a directional recommendation.

#### Injections, Infusions, and Procedures

Greater occipital nerve blockade for the abortive treatment of migraine received an unchanged "weak for" recommendation after review of new evidence and the evidence from the 2020 CPG (Recommendation 34). The new evidence base consisted of a single RCT (38) that showed improvement (that is, reduced pain) versus placebo. As in the 2020 guideline (39-41), the overall quality of the evidence was deemed low. When applying the GRADE criteria, we noted that greater occipital nerve blockade has balanced risks and benefits. It did not cause more AEs than placebo. Greater occipital nerve blockade meets some patient values and has a favorable resource impact (for example, the technical skill can be easily taught, it is less resource intensive than intravenous treatment, and it can be used in an outpatient clinic setting); therefore, the recommendation remained "weak for." This intervention can easily be learned and performed by primary care physicians and other clinicians.

#### **PREVENTIVE THERAPIES FOR EM OR CM**

Preventive migraine therapies should be initiated when patients have 4 or more migraine days per month or when they have 2 or more migraine days with significant disability despite the appropriate use of acute migraine therapies (42). The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd Edition, differentiates between EM and CM: EM occurs when a patient has fewer than 15 headaches a month over a 3-month period, whereas CM causes symptoms on 15 or more days per month (Sidebar 3 in Part 3 of the Supplement) (300, 301). The same treatment method may have different evidence recommendations dependent on whether EM or CM is being considered. Goals of migraine prevention include reducing the severity, duration, and frequency of migraines; improving migraine-related disability; enhancing the responsiveness of abortive migraine treatments; and decreasing the overall number of abortive medication treatments used for migraines. Critical outcomes considered for preventive therapies are related to change in headache and migraine days and include change in mean monthly headache and mean monthly migraine days from baseline and change in number of moderate or severe headache days.

#### Pharmacotherapies

The WG reviewed new evidence for CGRP-acting agents, including CGRP monoclonal antibodies and

gepants. This resulted in several new-added and newreplaced recommendations for these medications. Compared with those in the 2020 CPG, the recommendations for erenumab, fremanezumab, and galcanezumab for prevention of EM or CM increased in strength to "strong for" (Recommendation 5) on the basis of additional evidence supporting the efficacy of these agents. Updated evidence included a network MA of 6979 patients with EM or CM from 13 RCTs (43). In both EM and CM, these 3 CGRP monoclonal antibodies resulted in reductions in mean monthly migraine days and abortive medication use. Clinicians should be aware that some postmarketing studies have shown an increased risk for development or worsening of hypertension with erenumab (44, 45), and the prescribing information has since been revised to include this in the warning and precautions.

Recommendations related to gepants are new to the 2023 guideline. Atogepant has a "weak for" recommendation, and rimegepant has a "neither for not against" recommendation for EM prevention (Recommendations 13 and 16, respectively). An MA of 2466 patients with EM treated with atogepant from 3 RCTs demonstrated statistically significant reductions in monthly migraine days, monthly headache days, and monthly days with use of abortive medication (46). Rimegepant, when used for the prevention of EM, resulted in a statistically significant reduction in monthly migraine days (0.8), which the WG did not consider clinically significant (47).

Topiramate was given a new "weak for" recommendation for the prevention of both EM and CM (Recommendation 9). An SR by Yang and colleagues (48) demonstrated a statistically significant reduction of 2.30 monthly migraine days in patients with CM receiving topiramate versus placebo. Consistent with the 2020 evidence, an SR by Overeem and colleagues (49) demonstrated a significant reduction of 1.1 monthly migraine days in patients with EM receiving topiramate versus placebo. Reevaluation of evidence for valproate included 2 randomized, placebo-controlled trials (50, 51), resulting in a change from "neither for nor against" to a "weak for" recommendation for valproate for the prevention of EM (Recommendation 11). All statistically significant reductions in mean monthly migraine days for these therapies also reached the threshold for clinical significance.

The 2023 guideline now suggests against the use of gabapentin for the prevention of EM (Recommendation 17). In an SR by Mulleners and colleagues (50) that included 6 RCTs, no study met the predefined critical outcome for migraine prevention (change in monthly headache and migraine days). Although gabapentin misuse, dependence, and withdrawal were documented largely in non-headache-specific populations, the WG also noted evidence of these issues (52), which contributed to the risk-versus-benefit assessment of gabapentin.

#### **Injections, Infusions, and Procedures**

The role of neurotoxin injections differs on the basis of whether they are given for prevention of EM or CM. Systematic reviews examining onabotulinumtoxinA injection for CM have consistently found a statistically significant reduction in headache days per month compared with placebo, and we suggest its use for CM of 1.8 headache days per month ("weak for") (Recommendation 14) (48, 53, 54). In comparison, the injection of onabotulinumtoxinA or abobotulinumtoxinA for the prevention of EM has not demonstrated a reduction in monthly migraine days or monthly headache days, but AE frequency favors placebo over treatment; thus, we suggest against their use for prevention of EM ("weak against") (Recommendation 15) (54). Unlike for its role in the abortive treatment of migraine, evidence is insufficient to recommend for or against greater occipital nerve block for the prevention of CM (Recommendation 36).

Eptinezumab is the first intravenous CGRP antagonist available for the prevention of EM and CM. One SR and another SR and MA examining the efficacy and safety data reported a similar reduction in mean monthly migraine days, especially with the 300-mg dose (136). Across studies, treatment-emergent AEs did not differ significantly between eptinezumab and placebo. The WG found that the benefits of eptinezumab slightly outweighed the harms and burdens and noted that, although eptinezumab is efficacious and safe for the prevention of migraine, this treatment requires health care center infrastructure to provide the intravenous infusions and time commitment from the patient. Given all this, eptinezumab received a "weak for" recommendation (Recommendation 6).

#### Abortive and Preventive Therapies for TTH

Tension-type headache is the most common primary headache disorder, with a 26% global prevalence (8). The goals of abortive and preventive treatment of TTH are similar to those of migraine. Critical TTH outcomes included time to pain freedom and headache intensity 2 hours after treatment for studies related to abortive management and reduction in headache days from baseline and change in the number of moderate or severe headache days for studies focusing on prevention.

For treatment of acute TTH, we suggest ibuprofen (400 mg) or acetaminophen (1000 mg) ("weak for") because both demonstrate statistically significant improvement in pain-free response at 2 hours (Recommendation 27). Of note, acetaminophen doses lower than 1000 mg (for example, 500 to 650 mg) did not show statistically significant improvement (55-57). Amitriptyline at 50 mg and 100 mg is recommended for preventive treatment ("weak for") because both doses were found to significantly reduce monthly headache days (Recommendation 25). Clinicians should be aware of anticholinergic adverse effects, especially among older patients and those with multiple cardiac comorbid conditions, as well as the potential for overdose. In comparison, onabotulinumtoxinA for chronic TTH did not show statistically significant improvement in critical outcomes in an SR of 12 RCTs and is not recommended ("weak against") (Recommendation 26) (58, 59).

#### NONPHARMACOLOGIC THERAPIES FOR HEADACHE MANAGEMENT: BEHAVIORAL MEDICINE, REHABILITATION INTERVENTIONS, AND NEUROMODULATION

Interest in NPIs has increased in the past 5 years. Health care organizations are starting to group NPIs into subsets, including behavioral health, acupuncture, neuromodulation, and physical therapies (302). For the CPG, a patient focus group was held before research questions were developed for this iteration: 9 veterans and active-duty service members were queried as to perceived barriers and facilitators to receiving care and its effect on their quality of life. Patients expressed beliefs that the cause of headaches is multifaceted and that the use of multiple types of therapies (for example, medication and NPIs) helped headache management; they also expressed the desire to better understand the cause of their headaches. The WG evaluated behavioral medicine interventions, rehabilitation interventions, and neuromodulation along with complementary integrative health (that is, acupuncture and yoga) approaches.

#### **Behavioral Medicine Interventions**

The WG reviewed biofeedback and smartphone application-based heart rate variability monitoring (60), cognitive behavioral therapy (61-63), mindfulnessbased therapies (64-67), and progressive muscle relaxation (73, 74) approaches to the management of headache disease. Across studies reviewed by the WG, data either did not support the use of a specific approach (that is, biofeedback and smartphone applicationbased heart rate variability monitoring) (61-63) or were mixed (specifically for cognitive behavioral therapy, mindfulness-based therapies, and progressive muscle relaxation when not combined with another behavioral medicine intervention). Evidence was insufficient to recommend for or against biofeedback and smartphone application-based heart rate variability monitoring, cognitive behavioral therapy, mindfulnessbased therapies, and progressive muscle relaxation (Recommendation 44).

#### **Rehabilitation Interventions**

Rehabilitation delivered through physical therapy (PT) received a "weak for" recommendation and is beneficial in the management of migraine and TTH (Recommendation 42). A combination of techniques described in an SR of 7 RCTs (70, 71) included thermal methods, trigger point massage, and mobilization and manipulation techniques delivered by a physical therapist and were better than sham interventions or medications at reducing headache frequency and intensity.

Aerobic exercise or progressive strength training received a "weak for" recommendation for the prevention of both migraine and TTH (Recommendation 43) (72-76, 303). The frequency of aerobic exercise varied but was generally 2 to 3 times per week for 30 to 60 minutes. Upper-body progressive strength training was supervised, typically 3 times per week for 30 minutes. The benefits of PT outweighed the likelihood of AEs, which were not explicitly reported in the studies reviewed, although supervised PT is generally considered safe. Supervised aerobic exercise and PT are treatment options for those living with migraine and TTH and can be done with an eye toward improving headache control, weight reduction, and mitigation of future vascular risk.

#### Neuromodulation

Neuromodulation refers to a treatment approach where the central or peripheral nervous system is stimulated via energy (typically electric or magnetic) with the intention of regulating neural pathways and alleviating pain and other symptoms associated with headache. Studies assessing acute migraine treatment have recorded inconsistent outcomes, and those examining migraine prevention have had small sample sizes. Therefore, the WG believed that the strength of the evidence for neuromodulation was limited for critical and important outcomes for acute and preventive treatment of migraines; this resulted in insufficient evidence to recommend for or against any form of neuromodulation for the abortive or preventive treatment of migraine (Recommendation 48). When considering neuromodulation as a treatment option, clinicians should be aware of contraindications for each type of therapy and differences in the frequency of treatment duration between devices and within the same device dependent on whether the acute or preventive setting is being used.

#### **COMPARISON WITH OTHER GUIDELINES**

The 2023 VA/DoD headache guidelines provide a broad scope of recommendations for the 3 most common primary headache types and selected secondary headache types (such as medication overuse headache). Although these guidelines differ in scope and development from those of the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) and American Headache Society (AHS), which typically encompass a singular headache type (304-308), there are many consistent messages. For acute migraine, these include recommendations for triptans and avoidance of opioids (304, 305). For migraine prevention, these include recommendations for lisinopril, candesartan, topiramate, propranolol, and valproate (306). Similar to the 2016 AAN guideline for botulinum toxin use in headache, the VA/DoD guideline recommends botulinum toxin for the prevention of CM but not EM or TTH (307).

The most recent AAN and AHS guidelines for migraine did not discuss CGRP monoclonal antibodies, gepants, or lasmiditan because these pharmacotherapies were only recently approved. In 2021, the AHS released a consensus statement on integrating new migraine treatments into clinical practice (42). In 2024 (after the review period for the VA/DoD headache CPG), AHS released a position statement on CGRPtargeting therapies as a first-line option for the prevention of migraine (309). Both the VA/DoD guideline and this consensus statement recommend CGRP antibody therapy as an option for migraine prevention and gepants as an option for acute migraine treatment.

Recommendations related to rehabilitation approaches in the management of migraine, including PT and exercise, are unique to this guideline.

Comparative efficacy is a topic of increasing interest given the number of new headache pharmacotherapies. Yet, robust head-to-head evidence is largely unavailable because phase 3 trials still compare new pharmacotherapies versus placebo. Unique to the VA/ DoD guidelines, evidence for comparative efficacy was evaluated for both abortive and preventive pharmacologic treatment of headache and separately for combinations of therapies for the prevention of headache. After reviewing multiple MAs, we found insufficient evidence to recommend for or against any specific medication over another for abortive or preventive headache treatment (Recommendations 50 to 53) (48, 49, 77-91).

#### **Research Recommendations**

The WG identified topics needing additional research, including the types of therapies and headache conditions studied, the patient populations enrolled, greater standardization of protocols for nonpharmacotherapies, comparative effectiveness studies beyond network MAs, and trial designs beyond those using patient-level randomization.

Meaningful comparative effectiveness studies that compare mechanistically similar active treatments (for example, medications in the same class or "older" vs. "newer" pharmacotherapies) or compare a pharmacotherapy with a nonpharmacotherapy would be helpful (310). Trials studying pharmacotherapies and nonpharmacotherapies for less well-studied headache types, including posttraumatic headache, are warranted.

Future trials should extend to include underrepresented patient groups, including men and marginalized underserved groups. Current literature indicated that persons living in rural areas, those with lower socioeconomic status, and people of Hispanic and Latino descent bear a disproportionate burden of migraine (311, 312).

Studying combinations of therapies and adaptive trial designs that may more closely align with realworld health care settings is needed as well. In clinical care, headache management is done in an individualized way and adapts to the response (or nonresponse) of a given treatment, which can be emulated using sequential multiple assignment randomized trials (313). Pragmatic trials that use health care units, rather than patients, as the unit of randomization (such as cluster RCTs) have been underused in headache research.

#### CONCLUSION

In recent years, there has been an unprecedented expansion in the number of headache therapies. The quality of evidence for these medications has expanded the ability to effectively treat and prevent key primary headache disorders.

This CPG provides clinicians with the most up-todate, evidence-based recommendations available for the evaluation and treatment of headache, combined with tables and algorithms to make the clinically pertinent information easily available. Primary care clinicians should consider triptans, aspirin-acetaminophen-caffeine, and newer CGRP inhibitors (gepants) as options for treatment of acute migraine. Medications to prevent EMs include angiotensin-receptor blockers, lisinopril, topiramate, valproate, eptinezumab, and atogepant. AbobotulinumtoxinA can be used for prevention of CM but not EM. Gabapentin is not recommended for prevention of EM. Ibuprofen (400 mg) and acetaminophen (1000 mg) can be used for treatment of TTH, and amitriptyline for prevention of chronic TTH. Aerobic exercise or PT can be used in management of TTH and migraines.

Clinicians should work with their patients in crafting treatment plans that account for headache type or types, comorbid conditions, and values and preferences.

From Headache Centers of Excellence Program, VA Connecticut Healthcare System, West Haven, and Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut (J.J.S., A.S.G.); VA National Pharmacy Benefits Management Service, Hines, Illinois (N.M.A.); Evidence-Based Practice, Office of Quality and Patient Safety, VA Central Office, Department of Veterans Affairs, Washington, DC (J.B., J.S.); Bay Pines VA Healthcare System, Tampa, Florida (A.C.B.); Headache Center of Excellence, Minneapolis VA Medical Center, Minneapolis, Minnesota (F.J.M.); South Texas Veterans Health Care System, San Antonio, Texas (I.W.P.); ECRI, Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania (J.R.); Department of Neurology, Pain Management Program, Washington VA Medical Center, Washington, DC (F.S.); Post-Deployment Rehabilitation and Evaluation Program TBI Clinic, James A. Haley Veterans' Hospital, Tampa, Florida (K.M.S.); Casualty Care Research Team, U.S. Army Institute of Surgical Research, Joint Base, San Antonio, and Brooke Army Medical Center, Fort Sam Houston, Texas (T.R.S.); Rehabilitation and

Extended Care and Headache Center of Excellence, Minneapolis VA Health Care System, Minneapolis, Minnesota (R.V.); Office of Quality and Patient Safety, VA Central Office, Department of Veterans Affairs, Washington, DC (L.W.); and Aeromedical Consultation Service, U.S. Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio; Wright State University Boonshoft School of Medicine, Dayton, Ohio; and Uniformed Services University F. Edward Hebert School of Medicine, Bethesda, Maryland (A.W.F.).

**Disclaimer:** The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy of the U.S. Department of the Army, the U.S. Department of the Navy, the U.S. Department of the Air Force, the U.S. Department of Defense, the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, or the U.S. government.

Financial Support: By the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs.

**Disclosures:** Disclosures are available with the article online.

**Corresponding Author:** Jason J. Sico, MD, MHS, VA Connecticut Healthcare System, 200 Edison Road, Orange, CT 06477; e-mail, Jason.sico@va.gov.

Author contributions are available at Annals.org.

#### References

1. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS) The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition. Cephalalgia. 2018;38:1-211. [PMID: 29368949] doi:10.1177/0333102417738202

2. Adams AM, Serrano D, Buse DC, et al. The impact of chronic migraine: the Chronic Migraine Epidemiology and Outcomes (CaMEO) Study methods and baseline results. Cephalalgia. 2015;35:563-578. [PMID: 25304766] doi:10.1177/0333102414552532

3. Lipton RB, Bigal ME, Diamond M, et al; AMPP Advisory Group. Migraine prevalence, disease burden, and the need for preventive therapy. Neurology. 2007;68:343-349. [PMID: 17261680] doi:10.1212/ 01.wnl.0000252808.97649.21

4. Lipton RB, Buse DC, Serrano D, et al. Examination of unmet treatment needs among persons with episodic migraine: results of the American Migraine Prevalence and Prevention (AMPP) Study. Headache. 2013;53:1300-1311. [PMID: 23879870] doi:10.1111/ head.12154

5. Lipton RB, Manack Adams A, Buse DC, et al. A comparison of the Chronic Migraine Epidemiology and Outcomes (CaMEO) Study and American Migraine Prevalence and Prevention (AMPP) Study: demographics and headache-related disability. Headache. 2016; 56:1280-1289. [PMID: 27349336] doi:10.1111/head.12878

6. Lipton RB, Stewart WF, Diamond S, et al. Prevalence and burden of migraine in the United States: data from the American Migraine Study II. Headache. 2001;41:646-657. [PMID: 11554952] doi:10.1046/ j.1526-4610.2001.041007646.x

7. Saylor D, Steiner TJ. The global burden of headache. Semin Neurol. 2018;38:182-190. [PMID: 29791944] doi:10.1055/s-0038-1646946

8. Stovner LJ, Hagen K, Linde M, et al. The global prevalence of headache: an update, with analysis of the influences of methodological factors on prevalence estimates. J Headache Pain. 2022;23:34. [PMID: 35410119] doi:10.1186/s10194-022-01402-2

9. GBD 2015 DALYs and HALE Collaborators. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 315 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE), 1990-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Lancet. 2016;388:1603-1658. [PMID: 27733283] doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31460-X

10. Abu Bakar N, Tanprawate S, Lambru G, et al. Quality of life in primary headache disorders: a review. Cephalalgia. 2016;36:67-91. [PMID: 25888584] doi:10.1177/0333102415580099

11. Mannix S, Skalicky A, Buse DC, et al. Measuring the impact of migraine for evaluating outcomes of preventive treatments for migraine headaches. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2016;14:143. [PMID: 27716228] doi:10.1186/s12955-016-0542-3

12. Lipton RB, Bigal ME, Hamelsky S, et al. Headache: epidemiology and impact. In: Silberstein SD, Lipton RB, Dodick DW, eds. Wolff's Headache and Other Head Pain. Oxford Univ Pr; 2008:45-61.

13. Buse DC, Scher AI, Dodick DW, et al. Impact of migraine on the family: perspectives of people with migraine and their spouse/ domestic partner in the CaMEO Study. Mayo Clin Proc. 2016;91:596-611. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2016.02.013

 Hu XH, Markson LE, Lipton RB, et al. Burden of migraine in the United States: disability and economic costs. Arch Intern Med. 1999;159:813-818. [PMID: 10219926] doi:10.1001/archinte.159.8.813
Simoens S. Health economic assessment: a methodological primer. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2009;6:2950-2966. [PMID: 20049237] doi:10.3390/ijerph6122950

16. Bonafede M, Sapra S, Shah N, et al. Direct and indirect healthcare resource utilization and costs among migraine patients in the United States. Headache. 2018;58:700-714. [PMID: 29446063] doi:10.1111/head.13275

17. Lipton RB, Stewart WF, Goadsby PJ. Headache-related disability in the management of migraine. Neurology. 2001;56:S1-S3. [PMID: 11294953] doi:10.1212/wnl.56.suppl\_1.s1

18. U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs; U.S. Department of Defense. CPG policy guidance. Accessed at www.healthquality.va. gov/policy/index.asp on 16 August 2022.

19. **Institute of Medicine.** Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust. National Academies Pr; 2011.

20. U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs; U.S. Department of Defense. Management of headache. 2023. Accessed at www.healthquality.va. gov/guidelines/Pain/headache/index.asp on 30 October 2023.

21. Atkins D, Best D, Briss PA, et al; GRADE Working Group. Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ. 2004;328:1490. [PMID: 15205295] doi:10.1136/bmj.328.7454.1490 22. Andrews J, Guyatt G, Oxman AD, et al. GRADE guidelines: 14. Going from evidence to recommendations: the significance and presentation of recommendations. J Clin Epidemiol. 2013;66:719-725. [PMID: 23312392] doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.03.013

23. Andrews JC, Schünemann HJ, Oxman AD, et al. GRADE guidelines: 15. Going from evidence to recommendation-determinants of a recommendation's direction and strength. J Clin Epidemiol. 2013;66: 726-735. [PMID: 23570745] doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2013.02.003

24. Dodick DW, Tepper SJ, Friedman DI, et al. Use of most bothersome symptom as a coprimary endpoint in migraine clinical trials: a post-hoc analysis of the pivotal ZOTRIP randomized, controlled trial. Headache. 2018;58:986-992. [PMID: 29782049] doi:10.1111/ head.13327

25. Crosby RD, Kolotkin RL, Williams GR. Defining clinically meaningful change in health-related quality of life. J Clin Epidemiol. 2003;56:395-407. [10.1016/s0895-4356(03)00044-1][12812812]

26. Alonso-Coello P, Schünemann HJ, Moberg J, et al; GRADE Working Group. GRADE Evidence to Decision (EtD) frameworks: a systematic and transparent approach to making well informed healthcare choices. 1: Introduction. BMJ. 2016;353:i2016. [PMID: 27353417] doi:10.1136/bmj.i2016

27. Diener HC, Gaul C, Lehmacher W, et al. Aspirin, paracetamol (acetaminophen) and caffeine for the treatment of acute migraine

attacks: a systemic review and meta-analysis of randomized placebocontrolled trials. Eur J Neurol. 2022;29:350-357. [PMID: 34519136] doi:10.1111/ene.15103

28. Gao B, Yang Y, Wang Z, et al. Efficacy and safety of rimegepant for the acute treatment of migraine: evidence from randomized controlled trials. Front Pharmacol. 2019;10:1577. [PMID: 32038251] doi:10.3389/fphar.2019.01577

29. Pak K, Kim J, Lee GH, et al. Effectiveness of calcitonin gene-related peptide receptor antagonists for migraine treatment: a meta-analysis. Eur Neurol. 2022;85:195-201. [PMID: 35100579] doi:10.1159/000521697

30. Yang Y, Chen M, Sun Y, et al. Safety and efficacy of ubrogepant for the acute treatment of episodic migraine: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. CNS Drugs. 2020;34:463-471. [PMID: 32193827] doi:10.1007/s40263-020-00715-7

31. Maiti R, Mishra A, Puliappadamb HM, et al. Efficacy and safety of lasmiditan for acute treatment of migraine in adults: a meta-analysis. J Clin Pharmacol. 2021;61:1534-1544. [PMID: 34472095] doi:10.1002/ jcph.1962

32. VanderPluym JH, Halker Singh RB, Urtecho M, et al. Acute treatments for episodic migraine in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2021;325:2357-2369. [PMID: 34128998] doi:10.1001/jama.2021.7939

33. Sakai F, Takeshima T, Homma G, et al. Phase 2 randomized placebo-controlled study of lasmiditan for the acute treatment of migraine in Japanese patients. Headache. 2021;61:755-765. [PMID: 33990951]doi:10.1111/head.14122

34. Yang Y, Sun Y, Gao B, et al. Lasmiditan for acute treatment of migraine in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. CNS Drugs. 2020;34:1015-1024. [PMID: 32857291] doi:10.1007/s40263-020-00753-1

35. Zhu H, Tang Y, Zhou T, et al. The efficacy of lasmiditan for the treatment of migraine: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. Clin Neuropharmacol. 2020;43:191-195. [PMID: 32969971] doi:10.1097/WNF.00000000000417

36. Goadsby PJ, Wietecha LA, Dennehy EB, et al. Phase 3 randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study of lasmiditan for acute treatment of migraine. Brain. 2019;142:1894-1904. [PMID: 31132795] doi:10.1093/brain/awz134

37. Wilbraham D, Berg PH, Tsai M, et al. Abuse potential of lasmiditan: a phase 1 randomized, placebo- and alprazolam-controlled crossover study. J Clin Pharmacol. 2020;60:495-504. [PMID: 31745991] doi:10.1002/jcph.1543

38. Hokenek NM, Ozer D, Yılmaz E, et al. Comparison of greater occipital nerve and supra orbital nerve blocks methods in the treatment of acute migraine attack: a randomized double-blind controlled trial. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2021;207:106821. [PMID: 34304069] doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2021.106821

39. Friedman BW, Mohamed S, Robbins MS, et al. A randomized, sham-controlled trial of bilateral greater occipital nerve blocks with bupivacaine for acute migraine patients refractory to standard emergency department treatment with metoclopramide. Headache. 2018;58:1427-1434. [PMID: 30144034] doi:10.1111/head.13395

40. Korucu O, Dagar S, Çorbacioglu ŞK, et al. The effectiveness of greater occipital nerve blockade in treating acute migraine-related headaches in emergency departments. Acta Neurol Scand. 2018;138:212-218. [PMID: 29744871] doi:10.1111/ane.12952

41. Zhang H, Yang X, Lin Y, et al. The efficacy of greater occipital nerve block for the treatment of migraine: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2018;165:129-133. [PMID: 29421172] doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2017.12.026

42. Ailani J, Burch RC, Robbins MS; Board of Directors of the American Headache Society. The American Headache Society Consensus Statement: update on integrating new migraine treatments into clinical practice. Headache. 2021;61:1021-1039. [PMID: 34160823] doi:10.1111/head.14153

43. Alasad YW, Asha MZ. Monoclonal antibodies as a preventive therapy for migraine: a meta-analysis. Clin Neurol Neurosurg.

2020;195:105900. [PMID: 32460120] doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2020. 105900

44. Dodick DW, Tepper SJ, Ailani J, et al. Risk of hypertension in erenumab-treated patients with migraine: analyses of clinical trial and postmarketing data. Headache. 2021;61:1411-1420. [PMID: 34591982] doi:10.1111/head.14208

45. Saely S, Croteau D, Jawidzik L, et al. Hypertension: a new safety risk for patients treated with erenumab. Headache. 2021;61:202-208. [PMID: 33423274] doi:10.1111/head.14051

46. Tao X, Yan Z, Meng J, et al. The efficacy and safety of atogepant for the prophylactic treatment of migraine: evidence from randomized controlled trials. J Headache Pain. 2022;23:19. [PMID: 35093013] doi:10.1186/s10194-022-01391-2

47. Croop R, Lipton RB, Kudrow D, et al. Oral rimegepant for preventive treatment of migraine: a phase 2/3, randomised, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2021;397:51-60. [PMID: 33338437] doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32544-7

48. Yang CP, Zeng BY, Chang CM, et al. Correction to: comparative effectiveness and tolerability of the pharmacology of monoclonal antibodies targeting the calcitonin gene-related peptide and its receptor for the prevention of chronic migraine: a network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Neurotherapeutics. 2021;18:2755-2750. [PMID: 34617266] doi:10.1007/s13311-021-01135-1

49. Overeem LH, Raffaelli B, Mecklenburg J, et al. Indirect comparison of topiramate and monoclonal antibodies against CGRP or its receptor for the prophylaxis of episodic migraine: a systematic review with meta-analysis. CNS Drugs. 2021;35:805-820. [PMID: 34272688] doi:10.1007/s40263-021-00834-9

50. **Mulleners WM, McCrory DC, Linde M**. Antiepileptics in migraine prophylaxis: an updated Cochrane review. Cephalalgia. 2015;35:51-62. [PMID: 25115844] doi:10.1177/0333102414534325

51. Jackson JL, Cogbill E, Santana-Davila R, et al. A comparative effectiveness meta-analysis of drugs for the prophylaxis of migraine headache. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0130733. [PMID: 26172390] doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0130733

52. Mersfelder TL, Nichols WH. Gabapentin: abuse, dependence, and withdrawal. Ann Pharmacother. 2016;50:229-233. [PMID: 26721643] doi:10.1177/1060028015620800

53. Barad M, Ailani J, Hakim SM, et al. Percutaneous interventional strategies for migraine prevention: a systematic review and practice guideline. Pain Med. 2022;23:164-188. [PMID: 34382092] doi:10.1093/ pm/pnab236

54. Herd CP, Tomlinson CL, Rick C, et al. Botulinum toxins for the prevention of migraine in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018;6:Cd011616. [PMID: 29939406] doi:10.1002/14651858. CD011616.pub2

55. Stephens G, Derry S, Moore RA. Paracetamol (acetaminophen) for acute treatment of episodic tension-type headache in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016;2016:Cd011889. [PMID: 27306653] doi:10.1002/14651858.CD011889.pub2

56. Derry S, Wiffen PJ, Moore RA, et al. Ibuprofen for acute treatment of episodic tension-type headache in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;2015:Cd011474. [PMID: 26230487] doi:10.1002/14651858. CD011474.pub2

57. Packman E, Leyva R, Kellstein D. Onset of analgesia with ibuprofen sodium in tension-type headache: a randomized trial. J Pharm Health Care Sci. 2015;1:13. [PMID: 26819724] doi:10.1186/ s40780-015-0012-9

58. Roland SB, Pripp AH, Msomphora MR, et al. The efficacy of botulinum toxin A treatment for tension-type or cervicogenic headache: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, placebo-controlled trials. Scand J Pain. 2021;21:635-652. [PMID: 34090319] doi:10.1515/sjpain-2021-0038

59. Jackson JL, Kuriyama A, Hayashino Y. Botulinum toxin A for prophylactic treatment of migraine and tension headaches in adults: a meta-analysis. JAMA. 2012;307:1736-1745. [PMID: 22535858] doi:10.1001/jama.2012.505

60. Minen MT, Corner S, Berk T, et al. Heartrate variability biofeedback for migraine using a smartphone application and sensor: a randomized controlled trial. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2021;69:41-49. [PMID: 33516964] doi:10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2020.12.008

61. Soleimanian-Boroujeni F, Badihian N, Badihian S, et al. The efficacy of transdiagnostic cognitive behavioral therapy on migraine headache: a pilot, feasibility study. BMC Neurol. 2022;22:230. [PMID: 35733127] doi:10.1186/s12883-022-02729-8

62. Klan T, Gaul C, Liesering-Latta E, et al. Efficacy of cognitive-behavioral therapy for the prophylaxis of migraine in adults: a threearmed randomized controlled trial. Front Neurol. 2022;13:852616. [PMID: 35572937] doi:10.3389/fneur.2022.852616

63. Mukhtar NB, Meeus M, Gursen C, et al. Effectiveness of handsoff therapy in the management of primary headache: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eval Health Prof. 2022;45:183-203. [PMID: 33406891] doi:10.1177/0163278720983408

64. Dindo LN, Recober A, Calarge CA, et al. One-day acceptance and commitment therapy compared to support for depressed migraine patients: a randomized clinical trial. Neurotherapeutics. 2020;17:743-753. [PMID: 31863406] doi:10.1007/s13311-019-00818-0

65. Vasiliou VS, Karademas EC, Christou Y, et al. Acceptance and commitment therapy for primary headache sufferers: a randomized controlled trial of efficacy. J Pain. 2021;22:143-160. [PMID: 32682815] doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2020.06.006

66. Grazzi L, Andrasik F, Rizzoli P, et al. Acceptance and commitment therapy for high frequency episodic migraine without aura: findings from a randomized pilot investigation. Headache. 2021; 61:895-905. [PMID: 34115399] doi:10.1111/head.14139

67. Aemaz Ur Rehman M, Waseem R, Habiba U, et al. Efficacy of mindfulness-based intervention for the treatment of chronic headaches: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Med Surg (Lond). 2022; 78:103862. [PMID: 35734718] doi:10.1016/j.amsu.2022.103862

68. Minen MT, Adhikari S, Padikkala J, et al. Smartphone-delivered progressive muscle relaxation for the treatment of migraine in primary care: a randomized controlled trial. Headache. 2020;60:2232-2246. [PMID: 33200413] doi:10.1111/head.14010

69. Gopichandran L, Srivastsava AK, Vanamail P, et al. Effectiveness of progressive muscle relaxation and deep breathing exercise on pain, disability, and sleep among patients with chronic tension-type headache: a randomized control trial. Holist Nurs Pract. 2024;38:285-296. [PMID: 34054116] doi:10.1097/HNP.00000000000460

70. Jung A, Eschke RC, Struss J, et al. Effectiveness of physiotherapy interventions on headache intensity, frequency, duration and quality of life of patients with tension-type headache. A systematic review and network meta-analysis. Cephalalgia. 2022;42:944-965. [PMID: 35236143] doi:10.1177/03331024221082073

71. **Rezaeian T, Mosallanezhad Z, Nourbakhsh MR, et al.** The impact of soft tissue techniques in the management of migraine headache: a randomized controlled trial. J Chiropr Med. 2019;18:243-252. [PMID: 32952469] doi:10.1016/j.jcm.2019.12.001

72. Lemmens J, De Pauw J, Van Soom T, et al. The effect of aerobic exercise on the number of migraine days, duration and pain intensity in migraine: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. J Headache Pain. 2019;20:16. [PMID: 30764753] doi:10.1186/s10194-019-0961-8

73. Madsen BK, Søgaard K, Andersen LL, et al. Efficacy of strength training on tension-type headache: a randomised controlled study. Cephalalgia. 2018;38:1071-1080. [PMID: 28750588] doi:10.1177/0333102417722521

74. Gram B, Andersen C, Zebis MK, et al. Effect of training supervision on effectiveness of strength training for reducing neck/shoulder pain and headache in office workers: cluster randomized controlled trial. Biomed Res Int. 2014;2014:693013. [PMID: 24701581] doi:10.1155/2014/693013

75. Bond DS, Thomas JG, Lipton RB, et al. Behavioral weight loss intervention for migraine: a randomized controlled trial. Obesity

(Silver Spring). 2018;26:81-87. [PMID: 29178659] doi:10.1002/ oby.22069

76. Youssef EF, Shanb AS. Mobilization versus massage therapy in the treatment of cervicogenic headache: a clinical study. J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil. 2013;26:17-24. [PMID: 23411644] doi:10.3233/ BMR-2012-0344

77. Wang F, Wang J, Cao Y, et al. Serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors for the prevention of migraine and vestibular migraine: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2020; 45:323-330. [PMID: 32205412] doi:10.1136/rapm-2019-101207

78. Pompilio G, Migliore A, Integlia D. Systematic literature review and Bayesian network meta-analysis of episodic cluster headache drugs. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2021;25:1631-1640. [PMID: 33629333] doi:10.26355/eurrev\_202102\_24874

79. Kandil M, Jaber S, Desai D, et al. MAGraine: magnesium compared to conventional therapy for treatment of migraines. Am J Emerg Med. 2021;39:28-33. [PMID: 33041146] doi:10.1016/j. ajem.2020.09.033

80. Hodgson SE, Harding AM, Bourke EM, et al. A prospective, randomized, double-blind trial of intravenous chlorpromazine versus intravenous prochlorperazine for the treatment of acute migraine in adults presenting to the emergency department. Headache. 2021; 61:603-611. [PMID: 33797074] doi:10.1111/head.14091

81. Hong P, Tan T, Liu Y, et al. Gepants for abortive treatment of migraine: a network meta-analysis. Brain Behav. 2020;10:e01701. [PMID: 32525262] doi:10.1002/brb3.1701

82. Friedman BW, Irizarry E, Williams A, et al. A randomized, double-dummy, emergency department-based study of greater occipital nerve block with bupivacaine vs intravenous metoclopramide for treatment of migraine. Headache. 2020;60:2380-2388. [PMID: 32981043] doi:10.1111/head.13961

83. Nurathirah MN, Yazid MB, Norhayati MN, et al. Efficacy of ketorolac in the treatment of acute migraine attack: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acad Emerg Med. 2022;29:1118-1131. [PMID: 35138658] doi:10.1111/acem.14457

84. Soltani KM, Motamed H, Eslami K, et al. Randomised trial of IV metoclopramide vs IV ketorolac in treatment of acute primary headaches. Am J Emerg Med. 2021;50:376-380. [PMID: 34474267] doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2021.08.023

85. Li W, Liu R, Liu W, et al. The effect of topiramate versus flunarizine on the non-headache symptoms of migraine. Int J Neurosci. 2023;133:19-25. [PMID: 33499714] doi:10.1080/00207454.2021.1881091

86. Hedayat M, Nazarbaghi S, Heidari M, et al. Venlafaxine can reduce the migraine attacks as well as amitriptyline: a noninferiority randomized trial. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2022;214:107151. [PMID: 35151971] doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2022.107151

87. Chowdhury D, Bansal L, Duggal A, et al. TOP-PRO study: a randomized double-blind controlled trial of topiramate versus propranolol for prevention of chronic migraine. Cephalalgia. 2022;42:396-408. [PMID: 34579560] doi:10.1177/03331024211047454

88. Dakhale GN, Sharma VS, Thakre MN, et al. Low-dose sodium valproate versus low-dose propranolol in prophylaxis of common migraine headache: a randomized, prospective, parallel, open-label study. Indian J Pharmacol. 2019;51:255-262. [PMID: 31571712] doi:10.4103/ijp.IJP\_457\_18

89. Rothrock JF, Adams AM, Lipton RB, et al; FORWARD Study investigative group. FORWARD Study: evaluating the comparative effectiveness of onabotulinumtoxinA and topiramate for headache prevention in adults with chronic migraine. Headache. 2019;59:1700-1713. [PMID: 31559634] doi:10.1111/head.13653

90. Reuter U, Ehrlich M, Gendolla A, et al. Erenumab versus topiramate for the prevention of migraine - a randomised, double-blind, active-controlled phase 4 trial. Cephalalgia. 2022;42:108-118. [PMID: 34743579] doi:10.1177/03331024211053571

91. Jyothi D, Jaju S, Karunasree N. To evaluate the efficacy, safety and tolerability of oral propranolol comparison with oral amitriptyline

for migraine prophylaxis. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci. 2022;14:31-35. doi:10.22159/ijpps.2022v14i7.44151

92. Hagen K, Linde M, Steiner TJ, et al. Risk factors for medicationoveruse headache: an 11-year follow-up study. The Nord-Trøndelag Health Studies. Pain. 2012;153:56-61. [PMID: 22018971] doi:10.1016/j. pain.2011.08.018

93. Li J, Chen C, Zhang L, et al. Analysis on the risk factors of medication-overuse headache in Chinese patients. J Clin Neurosci. 2018;48: 153-159. [PMID: 29137916] doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2017.10.066

94. Thorlund K, Sun-Edelstein C, Druyts E, et al. Risk of medication overuse headache across classes of treatments for acute migraine. J Headache Pain. 2016;17:107. [PMID: 27882516] doi:10.1186/ s10194-016-0696-8

95. Peck KR, Roland MM, Smitherman TA. Factors associated with medication-overuse headache in patients seeking treatment for primary headache. Headache. 2018;58:648-660. [PMID: 29520765] doi:10.1111/head.13294

96. Yan Z, Chen Y, Chen C, et al. Analysis of risk factors for medication-overuse headache relapse: a clinic-based study in China. BMC Neurol. 2015;15:168. [PMID: 26382591] doi:10.1186/s12883-015-0422-1

97. Okoli GN, Rabbani R, Kashani HH, et al. Vitamins and minerals for migraine prophylaxis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Can J Neurol Sci. 2019;46:224-233. [PMID: 30764890] doi:10.1017/ cjn.2018.394

98. Parohan M, Sarraf P, Javanbakht MH, et al. Effect of coenzyme Q10 supplementation on clinical features of migraine: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Nutr Neurosci. 2020;23:868-875. [PMID: 30727862] doi:10.1080/1028415X.2019.1572940

99. Zeng Z, Li Y, Lu S, et al. Efficacy of CoQ10 as supplementation for migraine: a meta-analysis. Acta Neurol Scand. 2019;139:284-293. [PMID: 30428123] doi:10.1111/ane.13051

100. Wider B, Pittler MH, Ernst E. Feverfew for preventing migraine. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;4:Cd002286. [PMID: 25892430] doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002286.pub3

101. Long R, Zhu Y, Zhou S. Therapeutic role of melatonin in migraine prophylaxis: a systematic review. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019; 98:e14099. [PMID: 30653130] doi:10.1097/MD.000000000014099 102. Maghsoumi-Norouzabad L, Mansoori A, Abed R, et al. Effects of omega-3 fatty acids on the frequency, severity, and duration of migraine attacks: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Nutr Neurosci. 2018;21:614-623. [PMID: 28665211] doi:10.1080/1028415X.2017.1344371

103. Sadeghi O, Nasiri M, Maghsoudi Z, et al. Effects of pyridoxine supplementation on severity, frequency and duration of migraine attacks in migraine patients with aura: a double-blind randomized clinical trial study in Iran. Iran J Neurol. 2015;14:74-80.[26056551]

104. Banzi R, Cusi C, Randazzo C, et al. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) for the prevention of tension-type headache in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;2015:CD011681. [PMID: 25931277] doi:10.1002/14651858.CD011681

105. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Suicidality in children and adolescents being treated with antidepressant medications. 5 February 2018. Accessed at www.fda.gov/drugs/postmarket-drug-safety-information-patients-and-providers/suicidality-children-and-adolescents-being-treated-antidepressant-medications on 16 August 2022.

106. Diener HC, Gendolla A, Feuersenger A, et al. Telmisartan in migraine prophylaxis: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Cephalalgia. 2009;29:921-927. [PMID: 19250283] doi:10.1111/j.1468-2982.2008.01825.x

107. Stovner LJ, Linde M, Gravdahl GB, et al. A comparative study of candesartan versus propranolol for migraine prophylaxis: a randomised, triple-blind, placebo-controlled, double cross-over study. Cephalalgia. 2014;34:523-532. [PMID: 24335848] doi:10.1177/ 0333102413515348

108. Tronvik E, Stovner LJ, Helde G, et al. Prophylactic treatment of migraine with an angiotensin II receptor blocker: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2003;289:65-69. [PMID: 12503978] doi:10.1001/jama.289.1.65

109. Buse DC, Lipton RB, Hallström Y, et al. Migraine-related disability, impact, and health-related quality of life among patients with episodic migraine receiving preventive treatment with erenumab. Cephalalgia. 2018;38:1622-1631. [PMID: 30086681] doi:10.1177/0333102418789072

110. Dodick DW, Ashina M, Brandes JL, et al. ARISE: a phase 3 randomized trial of erenumab for episodic migraine. Cephalalgia. 2018;38:1026-1037. [PMID: 29471679] doi:10.1177/0333102418759786

111. Dodick DW, Silberstein SD, Bigal ME, et al. Effect of fremanezumab compared with placebo for prevention of episodic migraine: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2018;319:1999-2008. [PMID: 29800211] doi:10.1001/jama.2018.4853

112. Goadsby PJ, Dodick DW, Leone M, et al. Trial of galcanezumab in prevention of episodic cluster headache. N Engl J Med. 2019;381:132-141. [PMID: 31291515] doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1813440

113. **Reuter U, Goadsby PJ, Lanteri-Minet M, et al.** Efficacy and tolerability of erenumab in patients with episodic migraine in whom two-to-four previous preventive treatments were unsuccessful: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3b study. Lancet. 2018;392:2280-2287. [PMID: 30360965] doi:10.1016/ S0140-6736(18)32534-0

114. Silberstein SD, Cohen JM, Yeung PP. Fremanezumab for the preventive treatment of migraine. Expert Opin Biol Ther. 2019;19:763-771. [PMID: 31177856] doi:10.1080/14712598.2019.1627323

115. Skljarevski V, Matharu M, Millen BA, et al. Efficacy and safety of galcanezumab for the prevention of episodic migraine: results of the EVOLVE-2 phase 3 randomized controlled clinical trial. Cephalalgia. 2018;38:1442-1454. [PMID: 29848108] doi:10.1177/0333102418779543

116. **Stauffer VL, Dodick DW, Zhang Q, et al.** Evaluation of galcanezumab for the prevention of episodic migraine: the EVOLVE-1 randomized clinical trial. JAMA Neurol. 2018;75:1080-1088. [PMID: 29813147] doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2018.1212

117. **Tepper S, Ashina M, Reuter U, et al.** Safety and efficacy of erenumab for preventive treatment of chronic migraine: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 2 trial. Lancet Neurol. 2017;16:425-434. [PMID: 28460892] doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(17) 30083-2

118. Detke HC, Goadsby PJ, Wang S, et al. Galcanezumab in chronic migraine: the randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled REGAIN study. Neurology. 2018;91:e2211-e2221. [PMID: 30446596] doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000006640

119. Wang S-J, Roxas AA, Saravia B, et al. Randomised, controlled trial of erenumab for the prevention of episodic migraine in patients from Asia, the Middle East, and Latin America: the EMPOwER study. Cephalalgia. 2021;41:1285-1297. [PMID: 34171973] doi:10.1177/03331024211024160

120. Takeshima T, Sakai F, Hirata K, et al. Erenumab treatment for migraine prevention in Japanese patients: efficacy and safety results from a phase 3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Headache. 2021;61:927-935. [PMID: 34153117] doi:10.1111/ head.14138

121. Yang Y, Chen M, Wu D, et al. Optimal dose of erenumab for preventive treatment of episodic migraine: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Curr Neuropharmacol. 2022;20:460-470. [PMID: 34429056] doi:10.2174/1570159X19666210823104916

122. Gao B, Sun N, Yang Y, et al. Safety and efficacy of fremanezumab for the prevention of migraine: a meta-analysis from randomized controlled trials. Front Neurol. 2020;11:435. [PMID: 32508742] doi:10.3389/fneur.2020.00435 123. Sakai F, Suzuki N, Kim B-K, et al. Efficacy and safety of fremanezumab for chronic migraine prevention: multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group trial in Japanese and Korean patients. Headache. 2021;61:1092-1101. [PMID: 34324700] doi:10.1111/head.14169

124. Sakai F, Suzuki N, Kim B-K, et al. Efficacy and safety of fremanezumab for episodic migraine prevention: multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group trial in Japanese and Korean patients. Headache. 2021;61:1102-1111. [PMID: 34323290] doi:10.1111/head.14178

125. Hu B, Li G, Li X, et al. Galcanezumab in episodic migraine: the phase 3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled PERSIST study. J Headache Pain. 2022;23:90. [PMID: 35896988] doi:10.1186/ s10194-022-01458-0

126. Abu-Zaid A, AlBatati SK, AlHossan AM, et al. Galcanezumab for the management of migraine: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized placebo-controlled trials. Cureus. 2020;12: e11621. [PMID: 33376635] doi:10.7759/cureus.11621

127. Chomistek AK, Hoffman V, Urman R, et al. Inpatient constipation among migraine patients prescribed anti-calcitonin gene-related peptide monoclonal antibodies and standard of care antiepileptic drugs: a retrospective cohort study in a United States electronic health record database. Pain Ther. 2022;11:1415-1437. [PMID: 36203078] doi:10.1007/s40122-022-00440-7

128. Amgen. Highlights of prescribing information: Aimovig. May 2021. Accessed at www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/ label/2021/761077s011lbl.pdf on 16 August 2022.

129. **Straube A, Stude P, Gaul C, et al.** Real-world evidence data on the monoclonal antibody erenumab in migraine prevention: perspectives of treating physicians in Germany. J Headache Pain. 2021;22:133. [PMID: 34742252] doi:10.1186/s10194-021-01344-1

130. Scuteri D, Tonin P, Nicotera P, et al. Pooled analysis of realworld evidence supports anti-CGRP mAbs and onabotulinumtoxinA combined trial in chronic migraine. Toxins (Basel). 2022;14:529. doi:10.3390/toxins14080529

131. Noseda R, Bedussi F, Gobbi C, et al. Safety profile of erenumab, galcanezumab and fremanezumab in pregnancy and lactation: analysis of the WHO pharmacovigilance database. Cephalalgia. 2021; 41:789-798. [PMID: 33435709] doi:10.1177/0333102420983292

132. Ashina M, Saper J, Cady R, et al. Eptinezumab in episodic migraine: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study (PROMISE-1). Cephalalgia. 2020;40:241-254. [PMID: 32075406] doi:10.1177/0333102420905132

133. Lipton RB, Goadsby PJ, Smith J, et al. Efficacy and safety of eptinezumab in patients with chronic migraine: PROMISE-2. Neurology. 2020;94:e1365-e1377. [PMID: 32209650] doi:10.1212/ WNL.00000000009169

134. Ashina M, Lanteri-Minet M, Pozo-Rosich P, et al. Safety and efficacy of eptinezumab for migraine prevention in patients with twoto-four previous preventive treatment failures (DELIVER): a multiarm, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3b trial. Lancet Neurol. 2022;21:597-607. [PMID: 35716692] doi:10.1016/ S1474-4422(22)00185-5

135. Siahaan YMT, Hartoyo V, Hariyanto TI. Efficacy and safety of eptinezumab as preventive treatment for episodic/chronic migraine: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol. 2022;49:1156-1168. [PMID: 35781694] doi:10.1111/1440-1681.13700

136. Yan Z, Xue T, Chen S, et al. Different dosage regimens of eptinezumab for the treatment of migraine: a meta-analysis from randomized controlled trials. J Headache Pain. 2021;22:10. [PMID: 33676408] doi:10.1186/s10194-021-01220-y

137. Silberstein S, Diamond M, Hindiyeh NA, et al. Eptinezumab for the prevention of chronic migraine: efficacy and safety through 24 weeks of treatment in the phase 3 PROMISE-2 (prevention of migraine via intravenous ALD403 safety and efficacy-2) study. J Headache Pain. 2020;21:120. [PMID: 33023473] doi:10.1186/s10194-020-01186-3

138. Diener H-C, Marmura MJ, Tepper SJ, et al. Efficacy, tolerability, and safety of eptinezumab in patients with a dual diagnosis of chronic migraine and medication-overuse headache: subgroup analysis of PROMISE-2. Headache. 2021;61:125-136. [PMID: 33314079] doi:10.1111/head.14036

139. Abraham J, Davis C. A comparative analysis of drug safety withdrawals in the UK and the US (1971-1992): implications for current regulatory thinking and policy. Soc Sci Med. 2005;61:881-892. [PMID: 15955393] doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2005.01.004

140. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. An introduction to drug safety surveillance and the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System. 18 July 2018. Accessed at www.fda.gov/about-fda/fda-pharmacy-student-experiential-program/introduction-drug-safety-surveillance-and-fda-adverse-event-reporting-system on 16 August 2022.

141. Issa AM, Phillips KA, Van Bebber S, et al. Drug withdrawals in the United States: a systematic review of the evidence and analysis of trends. Curr Drug Saf. 2007;2:177-185. [PMID: 18690965] doi:10.2174/157488607781668855

142. Onakpoya IJ, Heneghan CJ, Aronson JK. Worldwide withdrawal of medicinal products because of adverse drug reactions: a systematic review and analysis. Crit Rev Toxicol. 2016;46:477-489. [PMID: 26941185] doi:10.3109/10408444.2016.1149452

143. Patriarca PA, Van Auken RM, Kebschull SA. Analysis of the risks and benefits of new chemical entities approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and subsequently withdrawn from the US market. Ther Innov Regul Sci. 2018;52:649-655. [PMID: 29714557] doi:10.1177/2168479017742858

144. Izzo JL Jr, Weir MR. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2011;13:667-675. [PMID: 21896148] doi:10.1111/j.1751-7176.2011.00508.x

145. Chiu H-Y, Yeh T-H, Huang Y-C, et al. Effects of intravenous and oral magnesium on reducing migraine: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Pain Physician. 2016;19:E97-E112.[26752497] 146. Karimi N, Razian A, Heidari M. The efficacy of magnesium oxide and sodium valproate in prevention of migraine headache: a randomized, controlled, double-blind, crossover study. Acta Neurol Belg. 2021;121:167-173. [PMID: 30798472] doi:10.1007/s13760-019-01101-x

147. National Institutes of Health. Magnesium: fact sheet for health professionals. 2 June 2022. Accessed at https://ods.od.nih.gov/factsheets/Magnesium-HealthProfessional on 16 August 2022.

148. He A, Song D, Zhang L, et al. Unveiling the relative efficacy, safety and tolerability of prophylactic medications for migraine: pairwise and network-meta analysis. J Headache Pain. 2017;18:26. [PMID: 28220376] doi:10.1186/s10194-017-0720-7

149. Margulis AV, Mitchell AA, Gilboa SM, et al; National Birth Defects Prevention Study. Use of topiramate in pregnancy and risk of oral clefts. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2012;207:405.e1-e7. [PMID: 22917484] doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2012.07.008

150. Freitag FG, Collins SD, Carlson HA, et al; Depakote ER Migraine Study Group. A randomized trial of divalproex sodium extended-release tablets in migraine prophylaxis. Neurology. 2002;58:1652-1659. [PMID: 12058094] doi:10.1212/wnl.58.11.1652

151. Hering R, Kuritzky A. Sodium valproate in the prophylactic treatment of migraine: a double-blind study versus placebo. Cephalalgia. 1992;12:81-84. [PMID: 1576648] doi:10.1046/j.1468-2982.1992.1202081.x

152. Jensen R, Brinck T, Olesen J. Sodium valproate has a prophylactic effect in migraine without aura: a triple-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study. Neurology. 1994;44:647-651. [PMID: 8164818] doi:10.1212/wnl.44.4.647

153. Kaniecki RG. A comparison of divalproex with propranolol and placebo for the prophylaxis of migraine without aura. Arch Neurol. 1997;54:1141-1145. [PMID: 9311358] doi:10.1001/ archneur.1997.00550210071015 154. **Klapper JO.** Divalproex sodium in migraine prophylaxis: a dose-controlled study. Cephalalgia. 1997;17:103-108. [PMID: 9137847] doi:10.1046/j.1468-2982.1997.1702103.x

155. Mathew NT, Saper JR, Silberstein SD, et al. Migraine prophylaxis with divalproex. Arch Neurol. 1995;52:281-286. [PMID: 7872882] doi:10.1001/archneur.1995.00540270077022

156. Afshari D, Rafizadeh S, Rezaei M. A comparative study of the effects of low-dose topiramate versus sodium valproate in migraine prophylaxis. Int J Neurosci. 2012;122:60-68. [PMID: 21950578] doi:10.3109/00207454.2011.626908

157. **Mitsikostas DD, Polychronidis I.** Valproate versus flunarizine in migraine prophylaxis: a randomized, double-open, clinical trial. Funct Neurol. 1997;12:267-276. [PMID: 9439944]

158. Shaygannejad VJM, Ghorbani A, Ashtary F, et al. Comparison of the effect of topiramate and sodium valporate in migraine prevention: a randomized blinded crossover study. Headache. 2006;46:642-648. [PMID: 16643559] doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.2006.00413.x

159. El-Khatib F, Rauchenzauner M, Lechleitner M, et al. Valproate, weight gain and carbohydrate craving: a gender study. Seizure. 2007; 16:226-232. [PMID: 17210261] doi:10.1016/j.seizure.2006.12.009

160. Maggioni F, Ruffatti S, Dainese F, et al. Weight variations in the prophylactic therapy of primary headaches: 6-month follow-up. J Headache Pain. 2005;6:322-324. [PMID: 16362700] doi:10.1007/ s10194-005-0221-y

161. Martin CK, Han H, Anton SD, et al. Effect of valproic acid on body weight, food intake, physical activity and hormones: results of a randomized controlled trial. J Psychopharmacol. 2009;23:814-825. [PMID: 18583434] doi:10.1177/0269881108091595

162. Dreifuss FE, Langer DH. Side effects of valproate. Am J Med. 1988;84:34-41. [PMID: 3146224] doi:10.1016/0002-9343(88)90055-1

163. **Mistry VM, Morizio PL, Pepin MJ, et al.** Role of memantine in the prophylactic treatment of episodic migraine: a systematic review. Headache. 2021;61:1207-1213. [PMID: 34352118] doi:10.1111/ head.14186

164. Yen P-H, Kuan Y-C, Tam K-W, et al. Efficacy of levetiracetam for migraine prophylaxis: a systematic review and metaanalysis. J Formos Med Assoc. 2021;120:755-764. [PMID: 32861551] doi:10.1016/j.jfma.2020.08.020

165. Montazerlotfelahi H, Amanat M, Tavasoli AR, et al. Levetiracetam for prophylactic treatment of pediatric migraine: a randomized doubleblind placebo-controlled trial. Cephalalgia. 2019;39:1509-1517. [PMID: 31154809] doi:10.1177/0333102419851814

166. Pakalnis A, Kring D, Meier L. Levetiracetam prophylaxis in pediatric migraine–an open-label study. Headache. 2007;47:427-430. [PMID: 17371359] doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.2007.00728.x

167. Verma A, Srivastava D, Kumar A, et al. Levetiracetam in migraine prophylaxis: a randomized placebo-controlled study in a rural medical institute in northern India. Clin Neuropharmacol. 2013;36:193-197. [PMID: 24201237] doi:10.1097/WNF.000000000000005

168. Kaniecki R. Neuromodulators for migraine prevention. Headache. 2008;48:586-600. [PMID: 18205800] doi:10.1111/ j.1526-4610.2007.01040.x

169. **de Tommaso M, Guido M, Sardaro M, et al.** Effects of topiramate and levetiracetam vs placebo on habituation of contingent negative variation in migraine patients. Neurosci Lett. 2008;442:81-85. [PMID: 18620023] doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2008.06.076

170. Sadeghian H, Motiei-Langroudi R. Comparison of levetiracetam and sodium valproate in migraine prophylaxis: a randomized placebo-controlled study. Ann Indian Acad Neurol. 2015;18:45-48. [PMID: 25745310] doi:10.4103/0972-2327.144290

171. **Rapoport AM**, **Sheftell FD**, **Tepper SJ**, **et al**. Levetiracetam in the preventive treatment of transformed migraine: a prospective, open-label, pilot study. Curr Ther Res Clin Exp. 2005;66:212-221. [PMID: 24672124] doi:10.1016/j.curtheres.2005.06.006

172. Pizza V, Busillo V, Agresta A, et al. Elderly patients with migraine: an open-label study on prophylaxis therapy with levetiracetam.

#### Annals.org

Cent Nerv Syst Agents Med Chem. 2011;11:31-34. [PMID: 21250937] doi:10.2174/187152411794961086

173. Brighina F, Palermo A, Aloisio A, et al. Levetiracetam in the prophylaxis of migraine with aura: a 6-month open-label study. Clin Neuropharmacol. 2006;29:338-342. [PMID: 17095897] doi:10.1097/ 01.WNF.0000236766.08409.03

174. **Patsalos PN.** Clinical pharmacokinetics of levetiracetam. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2004;43:707-724. [PMID: 15301575] doi:10.2165/ 00003088-200443110-00002

175. Tomson T, Battino D, Perucca E. Teratogenicity of antiepileptic drugs. Curr Opin Neurol. 2019;32:246-252. [PMID: 30664067] doi:10.1097/WCO.000000000000659

176. Moon H-S, Chu MK, Park JW, et al. Frovatriptan is effective and well tolerated in Korean migraineurs: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. J Clin Neurol. 2010;6:27-32. [PMID: 20386640] doi:10.3988/jcn.2010.6.1.27

177. Cady RK, Martin VT, Géraud G, et al. Rizatriptan 10-mg ODT for early treatment of migraine and impact of migraine education on treatment response. Headache. 2009;49:687-696. [PMID: 19472447] doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.2009.01412.x

178. Derry CJ, Derry S, Moore RA. Sumatriptan (oral route of administration) for acute migraine attacks in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;2012:Cd008615. [PMID: 22336849] doi:10.1002/14651858.CD008615.pub2

179. Derry CJ, Derry S, Moore RA. Sumatriptan (subcutaneous route of administration) for acute migraine attacks in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;2012:CD009665. [PMID: 22336869] doi:10.1002/14651858.CD009665

180. Law S, Derry S, Moore RA. Sumatriptan plus naproxen for the treatment of acute migraine attacks in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016;4:Cd008541. [PMID: 27096438] doi:10.1002/ 14651858.CD008541.pub3

181. Bird S, Derry S, Moore RA. Zolmitriptan for acute migraine attacks in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;2014:Cd008616. [PMID: 24848613] doi:10.1002/14651858.CD008616.pub2

182. Dahlöf CGH. Infrequent or non-response to oral sumatriptan does not predict response to other triptans-review of four trials. Cephalalgia. 2006;26:98-106. [PMID: 16426262] doi:10.1111/ j.1468-2982.2005.01010.x

183. Diener H-C. The risks or lack thereof of migraine treatments in vascular disease. Headache. 2020;60:649-653. [PMID: 31967337] doi:10.1111/head.13749

184. Cameron C, Kelly S, Hsieh S-C, et al. Triptans in the acute treatment of migraine: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Headache. 2015;55 Suppl 4:221-235. [PMID: 26178694] doi:10.1111/ head.12601

185. Burch R. Headache in pregnancy and the puerperium. Neurol Clin. 2019;37:31-51. [PMID: 30470274] doi:10.1016/j.ncl.2018.09.004

186. Derry S, Moore RA. Paracetamol (acetaminophen) with or without an antiemetic for acute migraine headaches in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;2013:CD008040. [PMID: 23633349] doi:10.1002/14651858.CD008040.pub3

187. Kirthi V, Derry S, Moore RA. Aspirin with or without an antiemetic for acute migraine headaches in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;2013:CD008041. [PMID: 23633350] doi:10.1002/ 14651858.CD008041.pub3

188. Rabbie R, Derry S, Moore RA. Ibuprofen with or without an antiemetic for acute migraine headaches in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;2013:CD008039. [PMID: 23633348] doi:10.1002/14651858.CD008039.pub3

189. Yadav R, Singh TP, Verma A, et al. Almotriptan versus ibuprofen in migraine: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Journal, Indian Academy of Clinical Medicine. 2016;17:111-114.

190. Law S, Derry S, Moore RA. Naproxen with or without an antiemetic for acute migraine headaches in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;2013:CD009455. [PMID: 24142263] doi:10.1002/ 14651858.CD009455.pub2 191. Deng Y, Chen Y, Peng Z, et al. The efficacy and safety of DFN-15 for the treatment of migraine: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. Clin Neuropharmacol. 2020;43:107-111. [PMID: 32658036] doi:10.1097/WNF.000000000000401

192. Lipton RB, Munjal S, Dodick DW, et al. Acute treatment of migraine with celecoxib oral solution: results of a randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. J Pain Res. 2021;14:549-560. [PMID: 33658842] doi:10.2147/JPR.S287571

193. Lipton RB, Munjal S, Tepper SJ, et al. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the efficacy, tolerability, and safety of celecoxib oral solution (ELYXYB) in acute treatment of episodic migraine with or without aura. J Pain Res. 2021;14:2529-2542. [PMID: 34447267] doi:10.2147/JPR.S322292

194. Etchison AR, Bos L, Ray M, et al. Low-dose ketamine does not improve migraine in the emergency department: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. West J Emerg Med. 2018;19:952-960. [PMID: 30429927] doi:10.5811/westjem.2018.8.37875

195. **UpToDate.** Ketamine: drug information. Accessed at www. uptodate.com/contents/ketamine-drug-information on 16 August 2022.

196. U.S. Department of Justice; Drug Enforcement Administration. Ketamine. February 2024. Accessed at www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov/ drug\_chem\_info/ketamine.pdf#search=ketamine on 1 March 2024.

197. Jackson JL, Mancuso JM, Nickoloff S, et al. Tricyclic and tetracyclic antidepressants for the prevention of frequent episodic or chronic tension-type headache in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gen Intern Med. 2017;32:1351-1358. [PMID: 28721535] doi:10.1007/s11606-017-4121-z

198. Funk MC, Beach SR, Bostwick JR, et al. QTc prolongation and psychotropic medications. Am J Psychiatry. 2020;177:273-274. [PMID: 32114782] doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2019.1760501

199. Family Practice Notebook. Tricyclic antidepressant overdose. Accessed at https://fpnotebook.com/Psych/Pharm/TrcyclcAntdprsntOvrds. htm on 16 August 2022.

200. Dodick DW, Goadsby PJ, Lucas C, et al. Phase 3 randomized, placebo-controlled study of galcanezumab in patients with chronic cluster headache: results from 3-month double-blind treatment. Cephalalgia. 2020;40:935-948. [PMID: 32050782] doi:10.1177/0333102420905321

201. Mo H, Kim B-K, Moon H-S, et al. Real-world experience with 240 mg of galcanezumab for the preventive treatment of cluster headache. J Headache Pain. 2022;23:132. [PMID: 36209047] doi:10.1186/s10194-022-01505-w

202. van Vliet JA, Eekers PJE, Haan J, et al; Dutch RUSSH Study Group. Features involved in the diagnostic delay of cluster headache. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2003;74:1123-1125. [PMID: 12876249] doi:10.1136/jnnp.74.8.1123

203. **Riesenberg R, Gaul C, Stroud CE, et al.** Long-term open-label safety study of galcanezumab in patients with episodic or chronic cluster headache. Cephalalgia. 2022;42:1225-1235. [PMID: 35633025] doi:10.1177/03331024221103509

204. Leone M, D'Amico D, Frediani F, et al. Verapamil in the prophylaxis of episodic cluster headache: a double-blind study versus placebo. Neurology. 2000;54:1382-1385. [PMID: 10746617] doi:10.1212/wnl.54.6.1382

205. Steiner TJ, Hering R, Couturier EG, et al. Double-blind placebocontrolled trial of lithium in episodic cluster headache. Cephalalgia. 1997;17:673-675. [PMID: 9350389] doi:10.1046/j.1468-2982.1997. 1706673.x

206. Law S, Derry S, Moore RA. Triptans for acute cluster headache. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;2013:CD008042. [PMID: 24353996] doi:10.1002/14651858.CD008042.pub3

207. AstraZeneca. Highlights of prescribing information: Zomig. September 2013. Accessed at www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2013/021450s007lbl.pdf on 16 August 2022.

208. GlaxoSmithKline. Highlights of prescribing information: Imitrex. December 2021. Accessed at www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda\_docs/label/2021/020080s054lbl.pdf on 16 August 2022.

209. Bennett MH, French C, Schnabel A, et al. Normobaric and hyperbaric oxygen therapy for the treatment and prevention of migraine and cluster headache. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;2015: CD005219. [PMID: 26709672] doi:10.1002/14651858.CD005219. pub3

210. Cohen AS, Burns B, Goadsby PJ. High-flow oxygen for treatment of cluster headache: a randomized trial. JAMA. 2009;302:2451-2457. [PMID: 19996400] doi:10.1001/jama.2009.1855

211. Singhal AB, Maas MB, Goldstein JN, et al. High-flow oxygen therapy for treatment of acute migraine: a randomized crossover trial. Cephalalgia. 2017;37:730-736. [PMID: 27206964] doi:10.1177/0333102416651453

212. Carlsen LN, Munksgaard SB, Nielsen M, et al. Comparison of 3 treatment strategies for medication overuse headache: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Neurol. 2020;77:1069-1078. [PMID: 32453406] doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.1179

213. Carlsen LN, Rouw C, Westergaard ML, et al. Treatment of medication overuse headache: effect and predictors after 1 year–a randomized controlled trial. Headache. 2021;61:1112-1122. [PMID: 34325483] doi:10.1111/head.14177

214. Rouw C, Munksgaard SB, Engelstoft IMS, et al. Dependencelike behaviour in patients treated for medication overuse headache: a prospective open-label randomized controlled trial. Eur J Pain. 2021;25:852-861. [PMID: 33326656] doi:10.1002/ejp.1715

215. Schwedt TJ, Hentz JG, Sahai-Srivastava S, et al; MOTS Investigators. Patient-centered treatment of chronic migraine with medication overuse: a prospective, randomized, pragmatic clinical trial. Neurology. 2022;98:e1409-e1421. [PMID: 35169011] doi:10.1212/ WNL.000000000200117

216. **de Goffau MJ, Klaver ARE, Willemsen MG, et al.** The effectiveness of treatments for patients with medication overuse headache: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Pain. 2017;18:615-627. [PMID: 28007591] doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2016.12.005

217. Karadaş Ö, Özön AÖ, Özçelik F, et al. Greater occipital nerve block in the treatment of triptan-overuse headache: a randomized comparative study. Acta Neurol Scand. 2017;135:426-433. [PMID: 27666722] doi:10.1111/ane.12692

218. Probyn K, Bowers H, Mistry D, et al; CHESS team. Nonpharmacological self-management for people living with migraine or tension-type headache: a systematic review including analysis of intervention components. BMJ Open. 2017;7:e016670. [PMID: 28801425] doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016670

219. Lambru G, Lagrata S, Matharu MS. Cutaneous atrophy and alopecia after greater occipital nerve injection using triamcinolone. Headache. 2012;52:1596-1599. [PMID: 23078270] doi:10.1111/ j.1526-4610.2012.02270.x

220. Ashkenazi A, Matro R, Shaw JW, et al. Greater occipital nerve block using local anaesthetics alone or with triamcinolone for transformed migraine: a randomised comparative study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2008;79:415-417. [PMID: 17682008] doi:10.1136/ jnnp.2007.124420

221. Velásquez-Rimachi V, Chachaima-Mar J, Cárdenas-Baltazar EC, et al. Greater occipital nerve block for chronic migraine patients: a meta-analysis. Acta Neurol Scand. 2022;146:101-114. [PMID: 35726455] doi:10.1111/ane.13634

222. Doğan NÖ, Pekdemir M, Yılmaz S, et al. Intravenous metoclopramide in the treatment of acute migraines: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Acta Neurol Scand. 2019;139:334-339. [PMID: 30629285] doi:10.1111/ane.13063

223. Choi H, Parmar N. The use of intravenous magnesium sulphate for acute migraine: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Eur J Emerg Med. 2014;21:2-9. [PMID: 23921817] doi:10.1097/ MEJ.0b013e3283646e1b

224. Yang Y, Huang X, Fan Y, et al. Efficacy of pulsed radiofrequency on cervical 2-3 posterior medial branches in treating chronic migraine: a randomized, controlled, and double-blind trial. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2015;2015:690856. [PMID: 26170880] doi:10.1155/2015/690856

225. Cady RK, Saper J, Dexter K, et al. Long-term efficacy of a doubleblind, placebo-controlled, randomized study for repetitive sphenopalatine blockade with bupivacaine vs. saline with the Tx360 device for treatment of chronic migraine. Headache. 2015;55:529-542. [PMID: 25828648] doi:10.1111/head.12546

226. Goadsby PJ, Sahai-Srivastava S, Kezirian EJ, et al. Safety and efficacy of sphenopalatine ganglion stimulation for chronic cluster headache: a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet Neurol. 2019;18:1081-1090. [PMID: 31701891] doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(19)30322-9

227. Mas J-L, Guillon B, Charles-Nelson A, et al; CLOSE investigators. Patent foramen ovale closure in stroke patients with migraine in the CLOSE trial. The CLOSE-MIG study. Eur J Neurol. 2021;28:2700-2707. [PMID: 33938088] doi:10.1111/ene.14892

228. Zhang Y, Wang H, Liu L. Patent foramen ovale closure for treating migraine: a meta-analysis. J Interv Cardiol. 2022;2022:6456272. [PMID: 35185398] doi:10.1155/2022/6456272

229. Goadsby PJ, de Coo IF, Silver N, et al; ACT2 Study Group. Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation for the acute treatment of episodic and chronic cluster headache: a randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled ACT2 study. Cephalalgia. 2018;38:959-969. [PMID: 29231763] doi:10.1177/0333102417744362

230. Silberstein SD, Mechtler LL, Kudrow DB, et al; ACT1 Study Group. Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation for the acute treatment of cluster headache: findings from the randomized, doubleblind, sham-controlled ACT1 study. Headache. 2016;56:1317-1332. [PMID: 27593728] doi:10.1111/head.12896

231. Arnadottir TS, Sigurdardottir AK. Is craniosacral therapy effective for migraine? Tested with HIT-6 questionnaire. Complement Ther Clin Pract. 2013;19:11-14. [PMID: 23337558] doi:10.1016/j. ctcp.2012.09.003

232. Chaibi A, Benth JS, Tuchin PJ, et al. Chiropractic spinal manipulative therapy for migraine: a three-armed, single-blinded, placebo, randomized controlled trial. Eur J Neurol. 2017;24:143-153. [PMID: 27696633] doi:10.1111/ene.13166

233. Espí-López GV, Gómez-Conesa A, Gómez AA, et al. Treatment of tension-type headache with articulatory and suboccipital soft tissue therapy: a double-blind, randomized, placebocontrolled clinical trial. J Bodyw Mov Ther. 2014;18:576-585. [PMID: 25440210] doi:10.1016/j.jbmt.2014.01.001

234. Espí-López GV, Rodríguez-Blanco C, Oliva-Pascual-Vaca A, et al. Effect of manual therapy techniques on headache disability in patients with tension-type headache. Randomized controlled trial. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2014;50:641-647. [PMID: 24785463]

235. Ferragut-Garcías A, Plaza-Manzano G, Rodríguez-Blanco C, et al. Effectiveness of a treatment involving soft tissue techniques and/or neural mobilization techniques in the management of tension-type headache: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2017;98:211-219.e2. [PMID: 27623523] doi:10.1016/j. apmr.2016.08.466

236. Luedtke K, Allers A, Schulte LH, et al. Efficacy of interventions used by physiotherapists for patients with headache and migrainesystematic review and meta-analysis. Cephalalgia. 2016;36:474-492. [PMID: 26229071] doi:10.1177/0333102415597889

237. Mesa-Jiménez JA, Lozano-López C, Angulo-Díaz-Parreño S, et al. Multimodal manual therapy vs. pharmacological care for management of tension type headache: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. Cephalalgia. 2015;35:1323-1332. [PMID: 25748428] doi:10.1177/0333102415576226

238. Rolle G, Tremolizzo L, Somalvico F, et al. Pilot trial of osteopathic manipulative therapy for patients with frequent episodic tension-type headache. J Am Osteopath Assoc. 2014;114:678-685. [PMID: 25170037] doi:10.7556/jaoa.2014.136

Annals of Internal Medicine

239. **Ruegsegger GN, Booth FW.** Health benefits of exercise. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2018;8:a029694. doi:10.1101/cshperspect. a029694

240. Fritsche G, Frettlöh J, Hüppe M, et al; Study Group. Prevention of medication overuse in patients with migraine. Pain. 2010;151:404-413. [PMID: 20800968] doi:10.1016/j.pain.2010.07.032

241. Gu Q, Hou JC, Fang XM. Mindfulness meditation for primary headache pain: a meta-analysis. Chin Med J (Engl). 2018;131:829-838. [PMID: 29578127] doi:10.4103/0366-6999.228242

242. Lee HJ, Lee JH, Cho EY, et al. Efficacy of psychological treatment for headache disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Headache Pain. 2019;20:17. [PMID: 30764752] doi:10.1186/ s10194-019-0965-4

243. Martin PR, Aiello R, Gilson K, et al. Cognitive behavior therapy for comorbid migraine and/or tension-type headache and major depressive disorder: an exploratory randomized controlled trial. Behav Res Ther. 2015;73:8-18. [PMID: 26226090] doi:10.1016/j. brat.2015.07.005

244. Fan SQ, Jin S, Tang TC, et al. Efficacy of acupuncture for migraine prophylaxis: a trial sequential meta-analysis. J Neurol. 2021;268:4128-4137. [PMID: 32839839] doi:10.1007/s00415-020-10178-x

245. Ni X, Dong L, Tian T, et al. Acupuncture versus various control treatments in the treatment of migraine: a review of randomized controlled trials from the past 10 years. J Pain Res. 2020;13:2033-2064. [PMID: 32884332] doi:10.2147/JPR.S259390

246. Ou M-Q, Fan W-H, Sun F-R, et al. A systematic review and metaanalysis of the therapeutic effect of acupuncture on migraine. Front Neurol. 2020;11:596. [PMID: 32714268] doi:10.3389/fneur.2020.00596 247. Giannini G, Favoni V, Merli E, et al. A randomized clinical trial on acupuncture versus best medical therapy in episodic migraine prophylaxis: the ACUMIGRAN study. Front Neurol. 2020;11:570335. [PMID: 33519664] doi:10.3389/fneur.2020.570335

248. Habibabadi MR, Ashtari F, Raeisi I. Effect of auricular acupuncture with semi-permanent ear needles on controlling migraine symptoms: a single-blind randomized clinical trial. J Acupunct Meridian Stud. 2021;14:58-66. [PMID: 35770540] doi:10.51507/j. jams.2021.14.2.58

249. Zheng H, Gao T, Zheng Q-H, et al. Acupuncture for patients with chronic tension-type headache: a randomized controlled trial. Neurology. 2022;99:e1560-e1569. [PMID: 35732505] doi:10.1212/ WNL.000000000200670

250. Xu S, Yu L, Luo X, et al. Manual acupuncture versus sham acupuncture and usual care for prophylaxis of episodic migraine without aura: multicentre, randomised clinical trial. BMJ. 2020;368: m697. [PMID: 32213509] doi:10.1136/bmj.m697

251. Pourahmadi M, Dommerholt J, Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, et al. Dry needling for the treatment of tension-type, cervicogenic, or migraine headaches: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Phys Ther. 2021;101:zab068. doi:10.1093/ptj/pzab068

252. Anheyer D, Klose P, Lauche R, et al. Yoga for treating headaches: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gen Intern Med. 2020;35:846-854. [PMID: 31667736] doi:10.1007/s11606-019-05413-9 253. Kwon SH, Chung EJ, Lee J, et al. The effect of hamstring relaxation program on headache, pressure pain threshold, and range of motion in patients with tension headache: a randomized controlled trial. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18:10137. doi:10.3390/ ijerph181910137

254. Long C, Ye J, Chen M, et al. Effectiveness of yoga therapy for migraine treatment: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. Am J Emerg Med. 2022;58:95-99. [PMID: 35660369] doi:10.1016/j. ajem.2022.04.050

255. Muñoz-Gómez E, Inglés M, Aguilar-Rodríguez M, et al. Effect of a craniosacral therapy protocol in people with migraine: a randomized controlled trial. J Clin Med. 2022;11 [PMID: 35160211] doi:10.3390/jcm11030759

256. de Abreu Venancio R, Alencar FG, Zamperini C. Botulinum toxin, lidocaine, and dry-needling injections in patients with myofascial pain and headaches. Cranio. 2009;27:46-53. [PMID: 19241799] doi:10.1179/crn.2009.008

257. Linde K, Allais G, Brinkhaus B, et al. Acupuncture for the prevention of tension-type headache. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016;4:CD007587. [PMID: 27092807] doi:10.1002/14651858. CD007587.pub2

258. Linde K, Allais G, Brinkhaus B, et al. Acupuncture for the prevention of episodic migraine. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016;2016: CD001218. [PMID: 27351677] doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001218.pub3 259. Xu J, Zhang FQ, Pei J, et al. Acupuncture for migraine without aura: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Integr Med. 2018;16:312-321. [PMID: 30007828] doi:10.1016/j.joim.2018.06.002

260. Zhao L, Chen J, Li Y, et al. The long-term effect of acupuncture for migraine prophylaxis: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Intern Med. 2017;177:508-515. [PMID: 28241154] doi:10.1001/ jamainternmed.2016.9378

261. Özön AÖ, Karadaş Ö, Özge A. Efficacy of diet restriction on migraines. Noro Psikiyatr Ars. 2018;55:233-237. [PMID: 30224869] doi:10.5152/npa.2016.15961

262. **Zencirci B.** Comparison of the effects of dietary factors in the management and prophylaxis of migraine. J Pain Res. 2010;3:125-130. [PMID: 21197315] doi:10.2147/jpr.s9437

263. Alpay K, Ertas M, Orhan EK, et al. Diet restriction in migraine, based on IgG against foods: a clinical double-blind, randomised, cross-over trial. Cephalalgia. 2010;30:829-837. [PMID: 20647174] doi:10.1177/0333102410361404

264. Mitchell N, Hewitt CE, Jayakody S, et al. Randomised controlled trial of food elimination diet based on IgG antibodies for the prevention of migraine like headaches. Nutr J. 2011;10:85. [PMID: 21835022] doi:10.1186/1475-2891-10-85

265. Lai YH, Huang YC, Huang LT, et al. Cervical noninvasive vagus nerve stimulation for migraine and cluster headache: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Neuromodulation. 2020;23:721-731. [PMID: 32166843] doi:10.1111/ner.13122

266. Najib U, Smith T, Hindiyeh N, et al. Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation for prevention of migraine: the multicenter, randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled PREMIUM II trial. Cephalalgia. 2022;42: 560-569. [PMID: 35001643] doi:10.1177/03331024211068813

267. Zhang Y, Huang Y, Li H, et al. Transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation (taVNS) for migraine: an fMRI study. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2021;46:145-150. [PMID: 33262253] doi:10.1136/rapm-2020-102088

268. Smelt AF, Assendelft WJ, Terwee CB, et al. What is a clinically relevant change on the HIT-6 questionnaire? An estimation in a primary-care population of migraine patients. Cephalalgia. 2014;34: 29-36. [PMID: 23843470] doi:10.1177/0333102413497599

269. Kuruvilla DE, Mann JI, Tepper SJ, et al. Phase 3 randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled Trial of e-TNS for the Acute treatment of Migraine (TEAM). Sci Rep. 2022;12:5110. [PMID: 35332216] doi:10.1038/s41598-022-09071-6

270. Moisset X, Pereira B, Ciampi de Andrade D, et al. Neuromodulation techniques for acute and preventive migraine treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Headache Pain. 2020;21:142. [PMID: 33302882] doi:10.1186/s10194-020-01204-4

271. Chou DE, Shnayderman Yugrakh M, Winegarner D, et al. Acute migraine therapy with external trigeminal neurostimulation (ACME): a randomized controlled trial. Cephalalgia. 2019;39:3-14. [PMID: 30449151] doi:10.1177/0333102418811573

272. Tepper SJ, Grosberg B, Daniel O, et al. Migraine treatment with external concurrent occipital and trigeminal neurostimulation-A randomized controlled trial. Headache. 2022;62:989-1001. [PMID: 35748757] doi:10.1111/head.14350

273. Daniel O, Tepper SJ, Deutsch L, et al. External concurrent occipital and trigeminal neurostimulation relieves migraine headache: a

#### **18** Annals of Internal Medicine

prospective, randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled trial. Pain Ther. 2022;11:907-922. [PMID: 35661128] doi:10.1007/s40122-022-00394-w

274. Leahu P, Bange M, Ciolac D, et al. Increased migraine-free intervals with multifocal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation. Brain Stimul. 2021;14:1544-1552. [PMID: 34673259] doi:10.1016/j. brs.2021.10.383

275. **Saltychev M, Juhola J.** Effectiveness of high-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in migraine: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2022;101:1001-1006. [PMID: 35034064] doi:10.1097/PHM.000000000001953

276. Shah JD, Dhull P, Somasekharan M, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for prophylactive treatment of chronic migraine: a randomised, single-blind, parallel-group, sham-controlled trial. Neurology Asia. 2022;27:137-144. [10.54029/2022mau]

277. Mattoo B, Tanwar S, Bhatia R, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in chronic tension-type headache: a pilot study. Indian J Med Res. 2019;150:73-80. [PMID: 31571632] doi:10.4103/ ijmr.IJMR\_97\_18

278. Stilling J, Paxman E, Mercier L, et al. Treatment of persistent post-traumatic headache and post-concussion symptoms using repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation: a pilot, double-blind, randomized controlled trial. J Neurotrauma. 2020;37:312-323. [PMID: 31530227] doi:10.1089/neu.2019.6692

279. Dalla Volta G, Marceglia S, Zavarise P, et al. Cathodal tDCS guided by thermography as adjunctive therapy in chronic migraine patients: a sham-controlled pilot study. Front Neurol. 2020;11:121. [PMID: 32153497] doi:10.3389/fneur.2020.00121

280. de Brito Aranha REL, Torro-Alves N, Andrade SM, et al. Effects on pain and cognition of transcranial direct current stimulation over the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in women with chronic migraine. Neurophysiol Clin. 2022;52:333-338. [PMID: 35945094] doi:10.1016/j. neucli.2022.07.005

281. De Icco R, Putortì A, De Paoli I, et al. Anodal transcranial direct current stimulation in chronic migraine and medication overuse headache: a pilot double-blind randomized sham-controlled trial. Clin Neurophysiol. 2021;132:126-136. [PMID: 33271482] doi:10.1016/j. clinph.2020.10.014

282. Hodaj H, Payen J-F, Mick G, et al. Long-term prophylactic efficacy of transcranial direct current stimulation in chronic migraine. A randomised, patient-assessor blinded, sham-controlled trial. Brain Stimul. 2022;15:441-453. [PMID: 35219923] doi:10.1016/j.brs.2022.02.012

283. Hong P, Liu Y, Wan Y, et al. Transcranial direct current stimulation for migraine: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. CNS Neurosci Ther. 2022;28:992-998. [PMID: 35437933] doi:10.1111/cns.13843

284. Shirahige L, Melo L, Nogueira F, et al. Efficacy of noninvasive brain stimulation on pain control in migraine patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Headache. 2016;56:1565-1596. [PMID: 27869996] doi:10.1111/head.12981

285. Lan L, Zhang X, Li X, et al. The efficacy of transcranial magnetic stimulation on migraine: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trails. J Headache Pain. 2017;18:86. [PMID: 28831756] doi:10.1186/ s10194-017-0792-4

286. Azimi Far A, Abdoli A, Poorolajal J, et al. Paracetamol, ketorolac, and morphine in post-trauma headache in emergency department: a double blind randomized clinical trial. Hong Kong Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2022;29:220-226. doi:10.1177/1024907920920747

287. Friedman BW, Irizarry E, Cain D, et al. Randomized study of metoclopramide plus diphenhydramine for acute posttraumatic headache. Neurology. 2021;96:e2323-e2331. [PMID: 33762421] doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000011822

288. Zirovich MD, Pangarkar SS, Manh C, et al. Botulinum toxin type a for the treatment of post-traumatic headache: a randomized, placebo-controlled, cross-over study. Mil Med. 2021;186:493-499. [PMID: 33241323] doi:10.1093/milmed/usaa391

289. Esterov D, Thomas A, Weiss K. Osteopathic manipulative medicine in the management of headaches associated with postconcussion syndrome. J Osteopath Med. 2021;121:651-656. [PMID: 33831981] doi:10.1515/jom-2020-0035

290. Chowdhury D, Mundra A, Datta D, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of combination treatment of topiramate and greater occipital nerve block versus topiramate monotherapy for the preventive treatment of chronic migraine: a randomized controlled trial. Cephalalgia. 2022;42:859-871. [PMID: 35259978] doi:10.1177/03331024221082077

291. Domingues RB, Silva AL, Domingues SA, et al. A double-blind randomized controlled trial of low doses of propranolol, nortriptyline, and the combination of propranolol and nortriptyline for the preventive treatment of migraine. Arq Neuropsiquiatr. 2009;67:973-977. [PMID: 20069203] doi:10.1590/s0004-282x2009000600002

292. Krymchantowski AV, da Cunha Jevoux C, Bigal ME. Topiramate plus nortriptyline in the preventive treatment of migraine: a controlled study for nonresponders. J Headache Pain. 2012;13:53-59. [PMID: 22008899] doi:10.1007/s10194-011-0395-4

293. Silberstein SD, Dodick DW, Lindblad AS, et al; Chronic Migraine Treatment Trial Research Group. Randomized, placebocontrolled trial of propranolol added to topiramate in chronic migraine. Neurology. 2012;78:976-984. [PMID: 22377815] doi:10.1212/ WNL.0b013e31824d5846

294. Ganji R, Majdinasab N, Hesam S, et al. Does atorvastatin have augmentative effects with sodium valproate in prevention of migraine with aura attacks? A triple-blind controlled clinical trial. J Pharm Health Care Sci. 2021;7:12. [PMID: 33789774] doi:10.1186/ s40780-021-00198-8

295. Jiang L, Yuan DL, Li M, et al. Combination of flunarizine and transcutaneous supraorbital neurostimulation improves migraine prophylaxis. Acta Neurol Scand. 2019;139:276-283. [PMID: 30428122] doi:10.1111/ane.13050

296. Kalita J, Kumar S, Singh VK, et al. A randomized controlled trial of high rate rTMS versus rTMS and amitriptyline in chronic migraine. Pain Physician. 2021;24:E733-E741.[34554691]

297. Mehta JN, Parikh S, Desai SD, et al. Study of additive effect of yoga and physical therapies to standard pharmacologic treatment in migraine. J Neurosci Rural Pract. 2021;12:60-66. [PMID: 33531761] doi:10.1055/s-0040-1718842

298. Sherafat A, Sahebnasagh A, Rahmany R, et al. The preventive effect of the combination of atorvastatin and nortriptyline in migraine-type headache: a randomized, triple-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Neurol Res. 2022;44:311-317. [PMID: 35037597] doi:10.1080/01616412.2021.1981105

299. Huang T, Xu Y, Chen Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of calcitonin gene-related peptide antagonists in migraine treatment: a metaanalysis. Brain Behav. 2022;12:e2542. [PMID: 35261165] doi:10.1002/ brb3.2542

300. International Headache Society. Migraine. Accessed at https://ichd-3.org/1-migraine on 16 August 2022.

301. Lipton RB, Silberstein SD. Episodic and chronic migraine headache: breaking down barriers to optimal treatment and prevention. Headache. 2015;55 Suppl 2:103. [PMID: 25662743] doi:10.1111/ head.12505\_2

302. Castellano-Tejedor C. Non-pharmacological interventions for the management of chronic health conditions and non-communicable diseases. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19:8536. doi:10.3390/ ijerph19148536

303. Sertel M, Bakar Y, Şimşek TT. The effect of body awareness therapy and aerobic exercises on pain and quality of life in the patients with tension type headache. Afr J Tradit Complement Altern Med. 2017;14:288-310. [PMID: 28573246] doi:10.21010/ajtcam. v14i2.31

304. Marmura MJ, Silberstein SD, Schwedt TJ. The acute treatment of migraine in adults: the American Headache Society evidence assessment of migraine pharmacotherapies. Headache. 2015;55:3-20. [PMID: 25600718] doi:10.1111/head.12499 305. Orr SL, Friedman BW, Christie S, et al. Management of adults with acute migraine in the emergency department: the American Headache Society evidence assessment of parenteral pharmaco-therapies. Headache. 2016;56:911-940. [PMID: 27300483] doi:10.1111/ head.12835

306. Silberstein SD, Holland S, Freitag F, et al; Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Headache Society. Evidence-based guideline update: pharmacologic treatment for episodic migraine prevention in adults: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Headache Society. Neurology. 2012;78:1337-1345. [PMID: 22529202] doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182535d20

307. Simpson DM, Hallett M, Ashman EJ, et al. Practice guideline update summary: botulinum neurotoxin for the treatment of blepharospasm, cervical dystonia, adult spasticity, and headache: report of the Guideline Development Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology. 2016;86:1818-1826. [PMID: 27164716] doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000002560

308. Robbins MS, Starling AJ, Pringsheim TM, et al. Treatment of cluster headache: the American Headache Society evidence-based guidelines. Headache. 2016;56:1093-1106. [PMID: 27432623] doi:10.1111/head.12866

309. Charles AC, Digre KB, Goadsby PJ, et al; American Headache Society. Calcitonin gene-related peptide-targeting therapies are a first-line option for the prevention of migraine: an American Headache Society position statement update. Headache. 2024;64:333-341. [PMID: 38466028] doi:10.1111/head.14692

310. Concato J, Peduzzi P, Huang G, et al. Comparative effectiveness research: what kind of studies do we need? J Investig Med. 2010;58:764-769. [PMID: 20479661]

311. Befus DR, Irby MB, Coeytaux RR, et al. A critical exploration of migraine as a health disparity: the imperative of an equity-oriented, intersectional approach. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2018;22:79. [PMID: 30291549] doi:10.1007/s11916-018-0731-3

312. Martin BC, Dorfman JH, McMillan JA, et al. Prevalence of migraine headache and association with sex, age, race, and rural/ urban residence: a population-based study of Georgia Medicaid recipients. Clin Ther. 1994;16:855-872.[7859245]

313. Almirall D, Lizotte DJ, Murphy SA. SMART design issues and the consideration of opposing outcomes: discussion of "Evaluation of Viable Dynamic Treatment Regimes in a Sequentially Randomized Trial of Advanced Prostate Cancer" by by Wang, Rotnitzky, Lin, Millikan, and Thall. J Am Stat Assoc. 2012;107:509-512. [PMID: 23543940] doi:10.1080/01621459.2012.665615 Author Contributions: Conception and design: J.J. Sico, K.M. Skop, T.R. Stark, R. Vogsland, A.W. Ford, J. Ballard-Hernandez, A.S. Grinberg, F.J. Macedo, I.W. Pace.

Analysis and interpretation of the data: F. Sandbrink, K.M. Skop, T.R. Stark, R. Vogsland, A.W. Ford, A.S. Grinberg, F.J. Macedo, I.W. Pace, J. Reston.

Drafting of the article: J.J. Sico, K.M. Skop, T.R. Stark, R. Vogsland, L. Wayman, A.W. Ford, N.M. Antonovich, J. Ballard-Hernandez, A.C. Buelt, A.S. Grinberg, F.J. Macedo, I.W. Pace, J. Reston, J. Sall.

Critical revision for important intellectual content: J.J. Sico, F. Sandbrink, K.M. Skop, T.R. Stark, R. Vogsland, A.W. Ford, A.S. Grinberg, F.J. Macedo, I.W. Pace.

Final approval of the article: J.J. Sico, F. Sandbrink, K.M. Skop, T.R. Stark, R. Vogsland, L. Wayman, A.W. Ford, N.M. Antonovich, J. Ballard-Hernandez, A.C. Buelt, A.S. Grinberg, F. J. Macedo, I.W. Pace, J. Reston, J. Sall.

Provision of study materials or patients: K.M. Skop.

Statistical expertise: J.J. Sico.

Administrative, technical, or logistic support: L. Wayman, J. Ballard-Hernandez, J. Sall.

Collection and assembly of data: K.M. Skop, T.R. Stark, R. Vogsland, A.W. Ford, F.J. Macedo, J. Reston.